

# The 26 December 2001 Solar Event Responsible for GLE63. I. Observations of a Major Long-Duration Flare with the Siberian Solar Radio Telescope

V.V. Grechnev<sup>1</sup> · A.A. Kochanov<sup>1</sup>

Received: 16 April 2016 / Accepted: 7 September 2016 / Published online: 20 October 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2016

Abstract Ground level enhancements (GLEs) of cosmic-ray intensity occur, on average, once a year. Because they are rare, studying the solar sources of GLEs is especially important to approach understanding their origin. The SOL2001-12-26 eruptive-flare event responsible for GLE63 seems to be challenging in some aspects. Deficient observations limited our understanding of it. Analysis of additional observations found for this event provided new results that shed light on the flare configuration and evolution. This article addresses the observations of this flare with the Siberian Solar Radio Telescope (SSRT). Taking advantage of its instrumental characteristics, we analyze the detailed SSRT observations of a major long-duration flare at 5.7 GHz without cleaning the images. The analysis confirms that the source of GLE63 was associated with an event in active region 9742 that comprised two flares. The first flare (04:30-05:03 UT) reached a GOES importance of about M1.6. Two microwave sources were observed, whose brightness temperatures at 5.7 GHz exceeded 10 MK. The main flare, up to an importance of M7.1, started at 05:04 UT and occurred in strong magnetic fields. The observed microwave sources reached a brightness temperature of about 250 MK. They were not static. After appearing on the weaker-field periphery of the active region, the microwave sources moved toward each other nearly along the magnetic neutral line, approaching the stronger-field core of the active region, and then moved away from the neutral line like expanding ribbons. These motions rule out an association of the non-thermal microwave sources with a single flaring loop.

**Keywords** Cosmic rays, solar · Flares · Radio bursts, microwave · Instrumentation and data management

 V.V. Grechnev grechnev@iszf.irk.ru
A.A. Kochanov kochanov@iszf.irk.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Institute of Solar-Terrestrial Physics SB RAS, Lermontov St. 126A, Irkutsk 664033, Russia

## 1. Introduction

Solar energetic particles are accelerated in association with solar eruptive events. The highest-energy particles sometimes produce considerable fluxes of secondary particles in the Earth atmosphere, which are able to cause ground-level enhancements (GLE) of cosmic-ray intensity. GLEs are mainly detected with neutron monitors (see, *e.g.*, Cliver, 2006; Nitta *et al.*, 2012; and references therein).

Seventy-two GLE events caused by relativistic solar protons have been observed from 1942 to 2015. Most solar sources of the 51 GLEs registered after 1970 (Kurt *et al.*, 2004; Cliver, 2006; Aschwanden, 2012; Gopalswamy *et al.*, 2012, 2013; Thakur *et al.*, 2014) were associated with major flares of the soft X-ray (SXR) GOES X class (40 GLEs, including GLE72 – see Chertok, Belov, and Grechnev, 2015) or M class (GLE28 with an M5, GLE63 with an M7.1, and GLE71 with an M5.1 flare). The GOES importance of the flare associated with GLE24 and those of the far-side sources of GLE23, GLE29, GLE39, GLE50, and GLE61 are uncertain. Atypically favorable conditions probably accounted for GLE33 (C6) and GLE35 (M1.3), which were associated with moderate flares, weak microwave bursts, and relatively slow coronal mass ejections (CMEs) that were due to shock-acceleration of a high coronal seed population (Cliver, 2006).

The rare occurrence of GLEs and their frequent association with both large flares and fast CMEs hampers identifying their origins and makes studying the parent solar events highly important. The 'big flare syndrome' concept (Kahler, 1982) explained the correlation between the parameters of near-Earth proton enhancements and flare emission by a general correspondence between the energy release in an eruptive flare and its various manifestations. However, recent studies by Dierckxsens *et al.* (2015) and Trottet *et al.* (2015) indicate that both flares and shock waves can accelerate GLE particles. Grechnev *et al.* (2013b) showed a scattered correlation between the peak fluxes of > 100 MeV protons and peak flux densities of 35 GHz bursts, although the proton outcome of four events, including GLE63 and GLE71, was much stronger. Furthermore, Grechnev *et al.* (2015) found a higher correlation between the total proton and microwave fluences (time-integrated fluxes); nevertheless, the proton-abundant events were the outliers. Their superiority could be due to, for example, predominant shock-acceleration or contributions from stronger nearly concurrent far-side events.

The subject of the present study is the 26 December 2001 solar event with an SXR peak time at 05:40 UT responsible for GLE63. The aim is to understand the possible causes of its atypically high proton outcome. Some other aspects of this solar event also look challenging.

The SXR emission of this event was atypically long (Aschwanden, 2012). Examination of the SXR light curves led Gopalswamy *et al.* (2012) to set a probable onset time of the associated flare at 05:03 UT. This time is close to the extrapolated CME onset time in the online CME catalog (http://cdaw.gsfc.nasa.gov/CME\_list/; Yashiro *et al.*, 2004) and a reported appearance of a type II burst in the range 04:59-05:02 UT. On the other hand, this burst is clearly visible at 04:53 UT and detectable still earlier in the *Hiraiso Radio Spectrograph* (HiRAS) spectrum (see 2001122605.gif) at http://sunbase.nict.go.jp/solar/denpa/hirasDB/Events/2001/. This slowly drifting burst evidences the presence of a moving source at least, ten minutes before the estimated onset time of a fast CME (average speed of 1446 km s<sup>-1</sup> according to the CME catalog). Furthermore, it is not clear why the fast CME and a strong shock wave (possibly responsible for the GLE particles) developed in association with a microwave burst, which was not extremely strong. It is not clear either when and where the shock wave appeared.

The flare and eruption in this event have been studied inconclusively because of limited data. The observations made with the *Extreme-ultraviolet Imaging Telescope* (EIT: Delaboudinière *et al.*, 1995) onboard the *Solar and Heliospheric Observatory* (SOHO) had a gap from 04:47 to 05:22 UT. The *Transition Region and Coronal Explorer* (TRACE: Handy *et al.*, 1999) did not produce extreme-ultraviolet images in which an eruption could be detected. No SXR images or hard X-ray data are available.

The search for additional data revealed that the 26 December 2001 event was observed in microwaves with the *Siberian Solar Radio Telescope* (SSRT: Smolkov *et al.*, 1986; Grechnev *et al.*, 2003) at 5.7 GHz, the *Nobeyama Radioheliograph* (NoRH: Nakajima *et al.*, 1994) at 17 and 34 GHz, and in 1600 Å by TRACE. The analysis of these additional observations found for this event has led to new results that we present in three companion articles.

This article (Paper I) addresses the SSRT observations of this flare. The SSRT routinely observes the Sun since 1996, but the difficulties of cleaning and calibrating the SSRT images of strong flare sources and the rather long time (typically 2-3 min) required to produce each image restrict the opportunities of using its imaging (2D-mode) observations. The use of the SSRT data in studies of flares has been limited (*e.g.* Altyntsev *et al.*, 2002, 2007, 2016; Meshalkina *et al.*, 2012; Alissandrakis *et al.*, 2013). The 1D-mode observations with a higher time resolution have also been used especially in studies of microwave sources on short timescales.

The flare occurred near the winter solstice, which is a most unfavorable season for observations with the SSRT. At that time, its beam pattern is considerably extended in the north–south direction, and distortions associated with insufficiently accurate knowledge of instrumental characteristics are strongest. These circumstances hamper efficient cleaning of the images. Nevertheless, a relatively low level of the SSRT beam side lobes due to a large number of antennas arranged in an equidistant array makes raw images (without cleaning) suitable for an analysis.

In the present article the 26 December 2001 flare is studied using SSRT raw images as well as its 1D response. This has enabled us to study the flare development in microwaves, to produce detailed light curves of the total flux and brightness temperature of the microwave sources at 5.7 GHz, and to analyze their spatial evolution. We focus on the opportunities provided by the SSRT data in studies of major flares and pursue the results, which can be obtained from the SSRT observations using the simplest estimations. Some of the results, which do not correspond to conventional properties of microwave flare sources, are analyzed using observations in different spectral domains.

Analyzing the TRACE and NoRH observations along with multi-frequency total flux data, Paper II (Grechnev *et al.*, 2016) elaborates and clarifies the conclusions drawn in the present article. Based on the results of these two articles, Paper III (Grechnev *et al.*, 2016, in preparation) will address the eruption and endeavor to understand the possible causes of the high proton productivity of the 26 December 2001 solar event.

## 2. Observations

## 2.1. Summary of the Flare

The event occurred in active region (AR) 9742 (N08 W54). The AR had a  $\beta\gamma$  magnetic configuration. Figure 1a shows an average of 31 raw microwave images produced for the pre-flare interval 03:35–04:21 UT using a recent SSRT software (Kochanov *et al.*, 2013). Despite instrumental distortions, the average image shows both active regions and the solar



disk. A moderate level of stripes is due to the relatively low side lobes; the level of the first (negative) side lobes of a perfectly phase-aligned SSRT antenna array is -22 %, the level of the second lobes is +13 %, *etc.* The side lobes from an extended source become smoothed and reduced.

The quiet-Sun brightness temperature at 5.7 GHz is  $16 \times 10^3$  K. The brightness temperatures of the microwave sources in AR 9742 (see the white rectangle) did not exceed 1.6 MK. A single image in Figure 1b presents flare sources at the peak of the microwave burst (05:14 UT). Their brightness temperatures reached about 250 MK, so that all the other weaker sources disappeared in the image. The stripes in this image are weaker than in Figure 1a; they do not exceed 11 % in the north–south direction and 7 % in the east–west direction. This fact indicates the rather large size of the sources.

GOES-8 recorded a long-duration SXR emission starting at 04:32 UT that reached up to M7.1 at 05:40 UT (Figure 2a). The temperature calculated from the two GOES channels is shown in Figure 2c. The calculated total emission measure (not shown) reached  $1.1 \times 10^{49}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> at 05:03 UT (vertical dashed line) and a maximum of  $4.6 \times 10^{49}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> at 05:47 UT.

A microwave burst in Figure 2b was recorded in Learmonth (US Air Force *Radio Solar Telescope Network*) at a frequency of 4995 MHz, which is close to the observing frequency range of the SSRT. The pre-burst level was subtracted. A moderate increase in the microwave emission by 05:02 UT changed to a sharp rise up to about 4000 sfu at 05:08 - 05:13 UT. The gray curve represents the thermal bremsstrahlung flux calculated from the temperature and emission measure. The microwave burst was dominated by non-thermal emission. The contribution from thermal bremsstrahlung became significant late in the decay phase. The gray curve with black dashes presents the positive part (by 05:28 UT) of the time-derivative of the 0.5 - 4 Å flux scaled to be comparable with the microwave burst. The similarity between the shapes of the GOES derivative and the microwave burst (called the Neupert effect; Neupert, 1968) supports the hypothesis that they have a common source. In summary, the SXR and microwave emissions indicate that the electron acceleration in the flare started by 04:30 UT and strengthened at 05:03 UT.

Figure 2d presents the five-minute data from the Apatity (black) and Oulu (gray) neutron monitors, which recorded GLE63 up to 6.5 %. The vertical dash–dotted line marks the solar particle release (SPR) time estimated by Reames (2009) from the velocity dispersion analysis. The shading indicates the SPR uncertainty. The delay between the flare emission and the estimated SPR time might indicate that acceleration of heavy particles was not efficient enough before 05:30 UT, or that they were accelerated simultaneously with electrons, but had access to open magnetic fields to reach Earth after that time only. Some observational indications related to this issue will be considered in Paper III.



**Figure 2** Light curves of the event. (a) SXR emission recorded by GOES-8. (b) Microwave burst. The gray curve represents the thermal bremsstrahlung flux estimated from GOES data. The gray curve with black dashes shows the time-derivative of the 0.5-4 Å GOES flux (positive part). (c) Temperature computed from the GOES data. (d) GLE increase recorded by the Apatity (black) and Oulu (gray) neutron monitors. The vertical dash-dotted line with shading denotes the SPR time and its uncertainty (Reames, 2009). The vertical dashed line in all the panels separates the first flare (up to about M1.6 importance) and the main flare. All times refer to UT.

As mentioned before, the SXR emission of the flare was atypically long. Its half-height duration in 1-8 Å was 86 min, which is twice longer than the other longest GLE-related flares of Solar Cycles 23 and 24 (44 min for GLE62, 39 min for GLE71, 37 min for GLE69, 29 min for GLE68 and GLE58; all others were still shorter). Both the rise and decay phases of the flare had very long durations. Examining the logarithmic time derivative of the SXR intensity, Gopalswamy *et al.* (2012) estimated the onset time of the GLE-associated flare at 05:03 UT (the vertical dashed line). From the presence of the long preceding SXR emission up to an importance of about M1.6 and an inflection in each of the GOES light curves at that time, Grechnev *et al.* (2013b) assumed the possible occurrence of a nearly concurrent stronger far-side event. It was difficult to verify this conjecture because of the lack of images in which eruptions could be detected. Most likely, this conjecture is not confirmed because the microwave burst in Figure 2b shows an increasing non-thermal emission up to 200 sfu at 05:02 UT, corresponding to the shoulder in the shoulder in the GOES derivative. If the only source of the microwave burst was AR 9742, then the only source of the SXR emission was the flare in this region. We will check this using the SSRT data.

# 2.2. Raw SSRT Data

The SSRT is located at geographic coordinates  $\phi = N51^{\circ}45'$ ,  $\lambda = E102^{\circ}13'$ . From 1996 to July 2013, the SSRT operated in its initial design. During this period, including 26 December 2001, the SSRT was a cross-shaped interferometer consisting of two equidistant linear arrays, EW and NS, each with 128 antennas spaced by d = 4.9 m. The observing frequency band was from 5.67 to 5.79 GHz (central frequency  $\nu_{\rm C} = 5.73$  GHz). Unlike modern synthesis interferometers, the SSRT was a direct-imaging telescope. Imaging was performed using





the frequency dependence of the SSRT beam direction (frequency scanning). Each image of the Sun was formed in its passage through the multi-beam fan by the diurnal rotation of the Earth (Smolkov *et al.*, 1986; Grechnev *et al.*, 2003).

The signals from all antennas of each linear array were fed into the waveguide system, which has the structure of a binary tree, and were combined to form NS and EW output signals. The 2D correlation component was extracted using a well-known modulation technique. The phases of the output signals of the NS and EW linear interferometers were modulated and the signals were combined into in-phase and anti-phase sums. The construction existing since the late 1990s did not allow extracting a response from each linear interferometer.

As a result of the summation of all the antenna signals *in situ* in the waveguide system, their dynamic range corresponded to the actual range of brightness temperatures of microwave sources and could be very high. For example, the dynamic range of the images in Figure 1 exceeds  $2 \times 10^4$ . The high input dynamic range is a critical point of a direct-imaging telescope, thus requiring a controllable attenuator.

The receiver system of the SSRT was a spectrum analyzer. Its output presented the solar images in the time–frequency coordinates. A portion of raw SSRT data on 26 December 2001 with the main part of the microwave burst is shown in Figure 3a (the difference of the in-phase and anti-phase signals) and in Figure 3b (the sum of these signals). Each frequency (total 500 channels) corresponds to a different viewing direction. The sampling interval was 0.336 s in routine observations. To follow the development of the burst, Figure 3d presents

the variations of the maximum brightness along the arc-like band S in Figure 3b. A way to compute this time profile is discussed later in this section.

The beam of a linear interferometer depends on the directional cosine  $[\cos \theta]$ , with  $\theta$  being a viewing direction relative to the interferometer baseline. For the EW interferometer,  $\cos \theta_{\text{EW}} = \sin H \cos \delta$ ; for the NS interferometer,  $\cos \theta_{\text{NS}} = \cos H \cos \delta \sin \phi - \sin \delta \cos \phi$ , with *H* being the hour angle and  $\delta$  the declination. Each linear interferometer has the main maxima in the directions where  $\cos \theta_n = nc/(vd)$ , with  $n = 0, \pm 1, ..., \pm n_{\text{max}}, c$  the speed of light, v a frequency, and *n* the interference order  $(n_{\text{max}} = vd/c = 93)$ .

The portion of the SSRT observation in Figure 3 contains the local noon (near 05:12 UT). At this time, H = 0,  $n_{\rm EW} = 0$ , the frequency dependence of the spatial sensitivity of the EW interferometer disappears and its 1D response to a compact source in the time–frequency coordinates is a vertical stripe. The 1D EW responses in the other interference orders, from -14 to +7, appear as a set of nearly vertical stripes. The 1D response of the NS interferometer appears as long arcs, symmetric relative to the local noon. Figures 3a and 3b contain orders  $n_{\rm NS} = 89$ , 90, and 91.

The 2D response in Figure 3a is formed by the passage of the Sun through successive interference orders (for each linear interferometer), which have alternate signs. The small dark and light ellipses at intersections of the interference maxima of the NS and EW interferometers are the corresponding images of the Sun. The imaging software (Kochanov *et al.*, 2013) extracts each image with surroundings corresponding to a required field of view and transforms it to rectangular coordinates in the plane of the sky. As the burst rose, the attenuator decreased the signal (yellow plot, 18 changes in this interval). The ellipses faded relative to the burst source and disappeared to the right of  $n_{\rm EW} = -3$ , after 05:04 UT. Faint non-flaring structures started to reappear to the right of  $n_{\rm EW} = 5$ , after 05:24 UT.

The 1D response in Figure 3b contains the summed signals from both interferometers, while the 2D correlation component is suppressed. The basic interference structure of the 1D data is the same as that of the 2D data. Here each sample at a given frequency represents an instantaneous total of the solar emission over a narrow stripe extracted by the EW interferometer 1D-mode knife-edge beam summed with a similar total coming from the NS interferometer.

A long bright arc in Figure 3b labeled S is the trace of the flare region observed by the NS interferometer in  $n_{\rm NS} = 90$ . Appropriate corrections were introduced to compensate for the displacement of this source from the solar disk center. Portions of similar traces correspond to  $n_{\rm NS} = 89$  (before 04:44 UT) and  $n_{\rm NS} = 91$  (after 04:45 UT). The bright response in  $n_{\rm NS} = 90$  within the dark blue arcs is the sum of the flaring microwave sources and the stripe of the quiet solar disk within the one-dimensional NS interferometer beam.

When the flare emission is strong enough, the contribution from the quiet-Sun stripe is negligible and the response from band S, within the dark blue arcs, approximately reproduces the total microwave flux. A corresponding plot corrected for the attenuator state changes is shown by the thick background green line in Figure 3c. The vertical broken lines delimit the intervals in which the responses to the flare region from the EW interferometer appear. To reduce their contribution, the half-sum of the background bands,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ (of the same widths as band S), was subtracted. Then the light curve was smoothed with a boxcar of 11 s and interpolated within the intervals between the pairs of the vertical broken lines. The result is shown by the black curve on top of the background green line.

Similarly, Figure 3d shows the variations of an instant maximum over the S band. As long as the brightness distribution of the microwave flare sources is nearly constant, this plot reproduces the temporal variations of their maximum brightness temperature. A modulation with a decreasing period, which is especially clearly visible after 05:13 UT, is possibly



caused by the passage of the NS interferometer response across the sensitive cells of the spectrum analyzer. The gradual shape of this curve justifies the interpolation applied to the total-flux plot in Figure 3c. The similarity of the two plots during the main burst indicates the constancy of the total area of the microwave sources. The initial parts of the plots, where the quiet-Sun contribution is significant, are less similar.

## 2.3. Calibration

The SSRT images are calibrated by referring to the quiet-Sun brightness temperature of  $T_{\rm QS} = 16\,000$  K (Zirin, Baumert, and Hurford, 1991; Borovik, 1994). The calibration technique is based on the analysis of the brightness distribution in an image, where two statistical peaks should be present. One of them corresponds to the zero sky level and the second to the quiet-Sun level. The images are calibrated by referring to the positions of the maximum values in the two peaks (see Kochanov *et al.*, 2013 for details). For brevity, we refer to this technique as auto-calibration. It works, as long as the microwave sources are not extremely bright, so that the quiet-Sun disk is detectable.

We have produced 105 SSRT images starting from the pre-flare stage at 03:35 UT (Figure 1a) up to the late decay, by 06:28 UT. Most of them were auto-calibrated, excluding the main part of the burst. To complement this interval with calibrated SSRT data, we invoked the time profiles computed from 1D data shown in Figures 3c and 3d. These light curves are quantified in instrumental units. It is possible to express the time profile of the maximum brightness in absolute brightness temperatures by referring to the 2D images, using the rise phase of the burst, when both types of data are available.

Figure 4b illustrates the procedure. The maximum brightness temperatures found from auto-calibrated images are shown by the filled circles. The shading indicates the calibration interval of the 1D data. Two images around 05:03 UT were degraded by the attenuator shifts and excluded from the calibration procedure. The calibration coefficients were found by means of a linear regression. Using them, we have obtained the calibrated time profile of the maximum brightness temperature shown by the solid line. Its initial variations might not be reliable because of the contributions from the other sources elsewhere on the Sun. By referring to this time profile, we calibrated the 2D images in brightness temperatures during the main burst (open circles).

When all of the 2D SSRT images are calibrated, the total flux time-profile can be quantified in sfu. This is shown in Figure 4a by the filled circles for auto-calibrated images and by the open circles for the images calibrated by referring to the 1D SSRT data. The relatively wide scatter is mostly due to shortcomings of the imaging software. Some of them were not revealed previously because of deficient experience in handling major flares. Some distortions are due to insufficiently accurate knowledge of the characteristics of the SSRT systems. They are strongest when observing the Sun at low altitudes, which was the case on 26 December 2011. These causes and multiple changes of the attenuator state probably resulted in the deviations of three of the latest images.

In a final step, we calibrated the total flux time-profile computed from 1D SSRT data by referring to the 2D images in the whole interval and using the same regression technique (solid line in Figure 4a). To evaluate the calibration quality, the dashed line shows the total flux recorded in Learmonth at 4995 MHz. The turnover frequency of the microwave burst was close to the SSRT observation range (Grechnev *et al.*, 2013b; Paper II); therefore, the total fluxes recorded by the SSRT at 5.7 GHz and in Learmonth at 4995 MHz should almost coincide. Despite all the inaccuracies of the measurements in the 1D SSRT data, the difference between the time profiles derived from them and the Learmonth data is within 15 %. The scatter of the 2D SSRT data, excluding the latest problematic images, is within 20 % (the previous image-to-image calibration stability reached, at best, 30 %, Grechnev *et al.*, 2003).

The brightness temperatures at 5.7 GHz exceeded 100 MK during the main burst and reached 270 MK at the maximum, stronger than the first flare by more than one order of magnitude. Adopting an uncertainty range of  $\pm 15$  %, *i.e.* from 230 MK to 310 MK, we decided to choose a rounded value of 250 MK (lower than the estimated 270 MK) within this range as a probable estimate of the highest brightness temperature reached during the burst. Such a high brightness temperature, never before observed with the SSRT, confirms the non-thermal nature of the emission (*cf.* Figure 2c).

Observations of major flares with the SSRT were problematic previously. It has become possible to produce the detailed microwave time profiles in this event only because of the very strong emission, which allowed neglecting a contribution from the other sources elsewhere on the Sun. Conversely, this contribution makes the approach applied here problematic in studies of weaker events.

## 2.4. Microwave Sources

#### 2.4.1. Main Properties

Figure 5 shows selected microwave images of AR 9742 observed by the SSRT at 5.7 GHz at different stages of the event. The field of view corresponds to the white frame in Figure 1. The maximum brightness temperatures over the images are specified in the panels. The white elliptic contour presents the half-height SSRT beam. The black-on-white contour traces the major magnetic polarity inversion (neutral) line at the photospheric level separating an S-polarity region to the east from an N-polarity region to the west. The neutral line was found from a longitudinal magnetogram obtained with the *Michelson Doppler Imager* (MDI: Scherrer *et al.*, 1995), onboard SOHO, on 26 December at 04:51 UT.

All SSRT images show two distinct microwave sources. Their spatial structures are unresolved; thus, the real brightness temperatures should be still higher. We strove to improve the coalignment accuracy between SSRT images and their pointing. We found the positions



**Figure 5** Microwave images of AR 9742 at 5.7 GHz (linear brightness scale) observed at different stages of the event: (a) at the early onset of the first flare; (b) at its end, shortly before the major flare; (c) near the peak of the burst; (d) at the decay of the main burst; (e) and (f) during the late-decay phase. The observation times of the images are marked by the short thick lines in Figure 6c. The field of view corresponds to the white frame in Figure 1. The white ellipses represent the half-height contours of the SSRT beam. The black-on-white contour represents the magnetic polarity inversion line or neutral line. The crosses mark the brightness centers of the two sources.

of the 5.7 GHz sources relative to the neutral line by comparing them with properly processed higher-resolution NoRH 17 GHz images, TRACE 1600 Å images, and white-light images from TRACE and SOHO/MDI. The final pointing accuracy should be within 20". The crosses in Figure 5 mark the brightness centers of the sources found by an automatic algorithm described in the next section.

Figure 5a presents the onset of the first flare. The main source here is close to the neutral line, polarized by about -7 %, and does not have a counterpart at 17 GHz. These properties are similar to those of the so-called neutral line associated sources (NLS), which are typically related to the origin of strong flares (see, *e.g.*, Uralov *et al.*, 2008). A somewhat increased brightness temperature of this source of 2.5 MK, with respect to that of a typical NLS, can be due to an additional gyrosynchrotron emission from the flare, which had already started. Another weaker source (1.4 MK) is located west of the neutral line. A faint extended feature in the upper left corner of Figure 5a is due to a beam side lobe.

The centers of the sources in the other images were located at different sides of the neutral line. Both sources were polarized. In Figures 5a-5c, the centers of the sources move toward each other nearly along the neutral line. Around the flare peak, the sources overlapped (Figures 5c and 5d), so that the distance between their centers could be somewhat underestimated at that time. Then, the centers moved away from the neutral line in Figures 5d-5f. The angle between the line connecting the centers of the sources and the neutral line increased (see Section 2.4.2). A weaker diffuse brightening appeared between the main sources in the late-decay phase (Figures 5e and 5f), possibly as a result of thermal bremsstrahlung from the upper part of the flare arcade.

The relation between the brightness temperatures of the two sources was not constant. The east NLS was brighter before the flare. The ratio of the maximum brightness temperatures of the west to east source initially was in the range 0.5-0.6, gradually increased to 1.0-1.1 during the first flare, reached 1.5-1.7 during the main flare, and then decreased to 0.7-1.1. While the maximum brightness temperatures changed during the event by two orders of magnitude, the narrow range in the ratio between their values for the two sources sources indicates the similarity of their time profiles.

Comparison of the images in Figure 5 with half-height contours of the SSRT beam indicates that the sources were not compact. Decomposition of the east-west and north-south cross-sections of the image observed near the peak of the burst in Figure 5c has resulted in an observed size of the sources of  $\approx 43'' \times 94''$  and a deconvolved size of  $\approx 38'' \times 59''$  (with a beam of  $21'' \times 73''$  at that time). The maximum brightness temperature of the deconvolved



**Figure 6** Relative motion of the microwave sources observed at 5.7 GHz. (a) The distance between the brightness centers of the two sources. The gray line represents the half-height width of the model response in the east–west direction. The shading marks the interval when the two sources overlap in the images. (b) The shear angle between the line connecting the brightness centers of the two sources and the magnetic neutral line. (c) The total flux time-profile of the burst recorded at 4995 MHz in Learmonth (including pre-burst emission). The vertical dotted lines mark some minor bursts and the corresponding features in panels a and b. The short thick lines with labels at the bottom denote the observation times of the images in the corresponding panels of Figure 5.

strongest west source at that time should approximately be proportional to the ratio between the observed area and the deconvolved one, *i.e.*, 230 MK  $\times$  1.82  $\approx$  420 MK.

#### 2.4.2. Motions of the Microwave Sources

To measure the distances between the observed sources and their orientation relative to the neutral line, we used an elliptic source model of  $43'' \times 60''$  extended in the north–south direction. The convolution of this source with an idealized SSRT beam (the real beam is not known precisely) looks more or less similar to the observed sources.

The algorithm measuring the positions of the sources operated in the following way. At the first step, a starting estimate was found for the position of the maximum over each image. A model response (computed as the convolution of the source model with the SSRT beam) was scaled to the maximum, placed in this position, and subtracted from the image. The position of the brightness center was calculated for the second source. Then, a model response corresponding to the second source was subtracted and a final estimate for the position of the first source was calculated. This procedure provided arrays of the (x, y) coordinates for the two sources measured from 105 images. To reduce the scatter in the measurements, both the x and y arrays of each source were filtered using the median over three neighbors and smoothed with a boxcar average over five neighbors. The parameters of the source model somewhat affect the results, but do not change them significantly.

Figures 6a and 6b present the detailed measurements of the relative positions and shear angle of the two sources in a wide time interval. The shear angle is measured with respect

to the main orientation of the neutral line, which is at around 105° from the west direction close to the location of the sources, without considering its detailed curves. The relative measurements do not depend on the accuracy with which the images were coaligned with each other and with the magnetogram. To relate the motions of the sources to the development of the flare, Figure 6c presents the total flux time-profile of the burst at 4995 MHz recorded at Learmonth. This time profile shows various features in detail without the scatter that is present in the time profiles computed from the 2D SSRT data.

Along with the measured distances between the brightness centers of the two sources, Figure 6a presents the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of a modeled response in the east–west direction, where it was narrowest. The half-height width of the response sets the limit to which the two features can be resolved. If the two sources are located too close to each other, then the results can be biased. The measurements within the shaded interval, when the sources overlap in the images, should therefore be considered with caution.

The measured positions seem to be affected by subsidiary bursts, whose sources might be located away from the brightness centers of the main burst. These minor features in the time profile in Figure 6c, marked with the vertical dotted lines have detectable counterparts in Figure 6b and some of them in Figure 6a.

The overall evolution corresponds to what can be deduced from the images in Figure 5. The distance between the sources in Figure 6a gradually decreased, starting at the onset of the first flare, reached a minimum during the main burst, and then gradually increased. The shear angle between the positions of the microwave sources and the neutral line in Figure 6b increased, starting at the onset of the first flare, and after the main burst it became non-monotonic, most likely due to subsidiary bursts.

#### 2.4.3. Degree of Polarization

Despite the complexity of the polarization structure, the measured positions of the brightness centers for the two sources allow studying how the degree of polarization evolved. Its value was measured for each source as an average within a small area surrounding its brightness center. This area was defined by a contour of the SSRT beam at a level of 0.65. We tested that the results are practically insensitive to the changes of the level from 0.5 to 0.8. The measurements are related to the images actually observed without subtraction of the quasi-stationary background. To reduce the scatter, the data points were smoothed with a boxcar average over five neighbors. The degree of polarization calculated in this way does not depend on the accuracy of the coalignment or calibration. The contributions from side lobes, edge effects, and other instrumental issues are minimized thereby. The results are presented in Figure 7a in comparison with the total flux time-profile in Figure 7b.

The curves in Figure 7a show complex variations of the polarization with a general correspondence to the main stages of the event. This correspondence along with a gradual character of the variations supports the reliability of the measurements from each image. The parameters of the source model used to measure the positions of the brightness centers somewhat affect the results, but do not change them significantly.

Before the flare onset, the degree of polarization was around -7% in both sources. The polarization of the east source started to gradually strengthen with the onset of the first flare, reached -23% at its end, and strengthened steeply during the main flare. During the peak of the burst it increased from -45% to -60%, and reached -75% when the flux of the burst decreased to half of its maximum value. During the subsequent decay, the polarization of the east source weakened. Its later variations were apparently related to the subsidiary bursts.



The polarization of the west source was inverted with respect to the expected x-mode emission with respect to the expected x-mode emission and was considerably weaker. Its variations from 04:50 to 05:36 UT were similar to those of the east source. The maximum degree of polarization was about -20 % soon after the strongest polarization of the east source. During the minor bursts after 05:36 UT, the variations of the polarization in the two sources became dissimilar.

## 3. Discussion and Summary

Both SXR and microwave data show that the long-duration flare in AR 9742 consisted of two parts. The first flare started at about 04:30 UT, lasted half an hour, and reached a GOES importance of about M1.6 at 05:03 UT. The main flare, which was much stronger in microwaves, started at 05:04 UT and reached an importance of M7.1 at 05:40 UT. The main microwave burst fully developed and decayed within 36 min, before the SXR peak. The decay of the SXR emission possibly lengthened as a result of subsidiary bursts in the interval 05:40 - 06:10 UT and around 06:25 UT. The SXR emission corresponded to the microwave burst through the Neupert effect. The only source of the microwave burst was AR 9742, and the only source of the SXR emission was the flare in this region. Most likely, the solar event in AR 9742 associated with the M7.1 flare was the only source of the near-Earth proton event and GLE63.

In preceding studies of microwave flare emissions, the sources observed by the SSRT at 5.7 GHz were typically associated with loop-top regions (*e.g.* Altyntsev *et al.*, 2002, 2007, 2016; Meshalkina *et al.*, 2012; and others). In the 26 December 2001 flare, two distinct microwave sources were observed. They were polarized, comparable in size and brightness temperatures (up to extremely high values), and varied rather similarly. These properties of the two sources and their positions, most likely at different sides of the magnetic neutral line, indicate their association with the conjugate legs of a closed magnetic structure.

Observations of flares in thermal emissions (H $\alpha$ , ultraviolet, extreme ultraviolet, soft X-rays, *etc.*) typically show complex multi-loop structures. By contrast, microwave and hard X-ray (HXR) images of non-thermal emissions in impulsive flares usually reveal simpler configurations identified with one or two loops (*e.g.* Hanaoka, 1996, 1997; Nishio *et al.*, 1997; Grechnev and Nakajima, 2002; and many others). Moreover, an analysis of the microwave morphologies in many near-limb events led Tzatzakis, Nindos, and Alissan-drakis (2008) to the conclusion that single-loop microwave configurations exist even in some

long-duration major flares. These observational hints resulted in a prevailing concept of a single microwave-emitting flare loop (or, at most, two loops). However, even the inhomogeneous flare-loop model, initially proposed by Alissandrakis and Preka-Papadema (1984) and further developed using powerful modeling tools to account for several inhomogeneities (Tzatzakis, Nindos, and Alissandrakis, 2008; Kuznetsov, Nita, and Fleishman, 2011), cannot explain various observations. In particular, Zimovets, Kuznetsov, and Struminsky (2013) demonstrated that at least some of the apparently single-loop configurations shown by microwave NoRH images corresponded to multi-loop arcades observed with telescopes of a higher spatial resolution.

The concept of a single microwave-emitting flare loop is difficult to reconcile with the systematic motions of the microwave sources in Figure 6a. A continuous relative displacement of the two sources by  $\geq 20''$  in the plane of the sky implies their association with different loops or loop systems at different times. In principle, the observed relative motion of the sources could be caused by a varying height of the emitting regions in the two legs of a single loop. This effect should also be exhibited in the degree of polarization that is due to a varying magnetic field strength and viewing angle. However, while the variations in the relative distance in Figure 6a before 05:20 UT are nearly symmetric to those after 05:20 UT, the shear angle in Figure 6b and polarization degree in Figure 7a are strongly asymmetric. Thus, while height variations are possible, the observed motions seem most likely determined by the displacements of the footpoints of numerous arcade loops.

Similar motions are known from HXR observations. From a statistical study of HXR sources, Bogachev *et al.* (2005) found different types of motions relative to the magnetic neutral line and interpreted them in terms of the standard flare model.

The diverging motion at the decay phase (05:30-05:45 UT and later) resembles the usual expansion of flare ribbons, which represent the footpoints of numerous loops. This is type I motion according to Bogachev *et al.* (2005). The motion of the two sources toward each other nearly along the neutral line throughout the first flare is also difficult to relate to a single loop; this type of motion was also observed in HXR (type II motion in Bogachev *et al.*, 2005). The deviations from the systematic motions during the subsidiary bursts also imply more complex configurations than a single microwave-emitting flare loop.

Additional indications are provided by the degree of polarization. This parameter of the gyrosynchrotron emission is closely related to the magnetic field strength, being not directly dependent on an unknown number of emitting electrons. Keeping this in mind, the varying degree of polarization in Figure 7a is difficult to understand in terms of the single-loop hypothesis. On the other hand, with our limited data, a probable participation of additional loops makes the interpretation of these complex variations ambiguous. We therefore consider, for simplicity, the approximation of a single homogeneous source for each of the two observed microwave sources.

To analyze the gyrosynchrotron emission, analytic approximations by Dulk and Marsh (1982) and Dulk (1985) are widely used. The accuracy of the formulas reduces at low harmonics of the gyrofrequency; in such situations, we invoke them to obtain rough estimates and to understand main tendencies, and additionally we refer to the plots in those articles that present the results of numerical calculations. Note that gyroresonance features in the spectrum are not expected in observations because of inhomogeneities of the magnetic field.

The polarization of the east source corresponded to the *x*-mode emission and reached  $r_c \approx -0.75$ . This is only possible if the optical thickness [ $\tau$ ] was small ( $\tau < 1$ ). According to Dulk (1985), the degree of circular polarization,  $r_c$ , in the optically thin limit is

$$r_{\rm c} \approx 1.26 \times 10^{0.035\delta} \times 10^{-0.071 \cos\theta} \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_B}\right)^{-0.782 + 0.545 \cos\theta} \quad (\tau_{\nu} \ll 1)$$

🖄 Springer

1

with  $\delta$  being a power-law index of the number density spectrum of microwave-emitting electrons,  $\theta$  a viewing angle, and  $v_B \approx 2.8 \times 10^6 B$  the electron gyrofrequency in the magnetic field [*B*]. The degree of polarization directly depends on the magnetic field strength.

The polarization of the east source strengthened until the decay phase. During the first flare, this occurred presumably as a result of an increasing contribution of the gyrosynchrotron emission, which became dominant at the end of the first flare (Figure 2b), and, possibly, as a result of the motion of the source from a weaker-field periphery of the active region to its stronger-field core. With a degree of polarization in the range of -0.20 to -0.25 just before the main flare and a viewing angle around  $\theta \approx 60^\circ$ , corresponding to the position of AR 9742, the magnetic field strength in the east source should not exceed -100 G. This conclusion applies to the whole first flare.

An increasing degree of the polarization during the main burst suggests a strengthened magnetic field in the east source. Assuming  $\theta = 60^{\circ}$  and  $\delta = 2.5-3.5$  (see Paper II), with  $r_c \approx -0.5$  at the peak of the burst, we estimate the magnetic field strength in the east source to be around -250 G at that time. With  $r_c \approx -0.75$  at the end of the main burst, the magnetic field strength in the low coronal east source could reach  $\approx -540$  G. This magnetic field corresponds to  $\nu/\nu_B \approx 4$ , which is beyond the validity range of the Dulk (1985) approximation, but nevertheless consistent with his Figure 3. If the optical thickness of this source was not small enough to satisfy the condition  $\tau_{5.7} \ll 1$ , then the magnetic field should be somewhat stronger.

The magnetic field at the photosphere underneath should be considerably stronger than in the low corona. The magnetic field in this region of the MDI magnetogram on that day at 04:51 UT ranged from -600 to -850 G. Since AR 9742 was not far from the limb, this magnetogram colud be strongly affected by the projection effect. We additionally examined an MDI magnetogram observed two days before, at 04:51 UT on 24 December. The magnetic field at about AR 9742 reached more than -1000 G. Thus, the estimated maximum magnetic field strength of  $\approx -540$  G in the east coronal source seems to be plausible.

The west source was polarized in the sense of the *o*-mode emission, with a degree not exceeding -20 %. Either its intrinsic emission corresponded to the *x*-mode and was inverted, propagating through a layer of the quasi-transversal magnetic field, or it was initially optically thick. If the SSRT observing frequency, 5.7 GHz, was higher than the peak frequency of this source, then its brightness temperature should directly depend on the magnetic field strength (Dulk and Marsh, 1982; Dulk, 1985). However, a weak magnetic field of in the range -40 G to -45 G corresponding to  $r_c = -0.2$  with the same  $\delta = 2.5 - 3.5$  and  $\theta = 60^{\circ}$  would contradict a higher brightness temperature of the west source relative to the east source. Hence, the west source was not optically thin. Its peak frequency,  $v_{\text{peak}}$ , was either slightly lower than 5.7 GHz (inverted *x*-mode emission), or, most likely, higher (intrinsic *o*-mode emission). The latter option is consistent with an estimated  $v_{\text{peak}} \approx 6.9 \text{ GHz}$  for the total flux in this event (Grechnev *et al.*, 2013b; see also Paper II). The total flux is the sum of the emissions from the east source with a  $v_{\text{peak}} < 5.7 \text{ GHz}$ .

The peak frequency can be estimated, referring again to Dulk and Marsh (1982) and Dulk (1985), as

$$\nu_{\text{peak}} \approx 2.72 \times 10^3 \times 10^{0.27\delta} (\sin \theta)^{0.41 + 0.03\delta} (NL)^{0.32 - 0.03\delta} \times B^{0.68 + 0.03\delta}$$

where (*NL*) is the column density of the emitting electrons. Although it can be different in the two sources located in the conjugate legs of the same closed structure, the dependence of  $v_{\text{peak}} \propto (NL)^{0.22-0.25}$  is considerably weaker than  $v_{\text{peak}} \propto B^{0.76-0.79}$ . While the basic

formula might be inaccurate at a low harmonic of the gyrofrequency, a stronger magnetic field seems nevertheless to be a most probable reason for a higher  $v_{\text{neak}}$  in the west source.

The brightness temperature of  $\approx 4.2 \times 10^8$  K estimated in Section 2.4.1 for the deconvolved west source near the peak of the burst (Figure 5c) roughly corresponds, with  $\delta = 2.5-3.5$  and  $\theta = 60^\circ$ , to the optically thick emission around the fifth harmonic (Figure 3 in Dulk and Marsh, 1982 and Dulk, 1985), *i.e.*, 400 G vs.  $\approx 250$  G in the east source at the same time. All of the estimates, along with the behavior of the polarization during the main flare, indicate that the west source was optically thick and located in a stronger magnetic field than the east source, which increased in the course of the flare. This conclusion is supported by a higher brightness of the west source throughout the event at both 17 and 34 GHz in the NoRH movie, norh20011226\_0505\_pfi.mpeg, available at http://solar.nro.nao.ac.jp/norh/html/event/ entry 20011226\_0505. Both sources were optically thin at these two frequencies, and therefore their brightness temperatures directly depended on the magnetic field strength.

The magnetogram observed on 26 December shows the photospheric magnetic field in the west part of AR 9742 to be around 1000 G. A sunspot was located there. A nearly radial magnetic field in its central part should be substantially reduced in the line-of-sight magnetogram observed close to the limb. We have not radialized the magnetogram to avoid overestimating the magnetic field in the region below the east source. The MDI magnetogram on 24 December shows a magnetic field exceeding 2700 G in the central part of the sunspot. Strong magnetic fields were really present on the photosphere approximately below the west source, which was brightest during the main flare.

Some of the estimated magnetic field strengths fall outside the range where the accuracy of the formulas by Dulk and Marsh (1982) and Dulk (1985) is guaranteed. Nevertheless, our results are supported by the following facts. i) Our estimates are also consistent with the results of numerical calculations by Dulk and Marsh (1982) and Dulk (1985) in their Figure 3. ii) Comparison of the positions of the two sources in Figures 5b-5d with the magnetogram confirms that magnetic fields below the west source were stronger than those below the east source, as considered in this section, while their probable values in the corona correspond to our estimates. iii) The NoRH movie of the flare observed at 17 and 34 GHz also supports our results.

We conclude that the main flare occurred in strong magnetic fields, whose photospheric base, most likely, had a strength of  $\gtrsim 1000$  G. The west flare ribbon probably extended into the strongest magnetic field above the sunspot. However, the spatial resolution of the SSRT and the coalignment accuracy are insufficient to judge to what extent this occurred. This issue will be addressed in Paper II, which will also analyze the microwave spectrum.

As mentioned in Section 1, the onset time of the main flare corresponds to the estimated launch time of the CME. The main phase of the 26 December 2001 GLE63-related event resembles those of the 20 January 2005 event (GLE69; Grechnev *et al.*, 2008) and of the 13 December 2006 event (GLE70; Grechnev *et al.*, 2013a). Furthermore, Grechnev *et al.* (2013b) showed flaring in stronger magnetic fields above the sunspot umbrae to be typical of large proton events.

Like the previously mentioned events, the flare on 26 December 2001 involved rather strong magnetic fields and occurred at least close to a sunspot. The magnetic fields involved in the GLE63-related flare were probably not as strong as in the flares related to GLE69 and GLE70, when the peak frequencies exceeded 25 GHz and the fluxes at 35 GHz were considerably higher than  $10^4$  sfu. Nevertheless, the main aspects of these events look qualitatively similar.

An additional particularity of the 26 December 2001 event was the very long duration of the flare. The rise phase of the main flare alone lasted 36 min *vs.* 18 min for the flares

related to GLE69 and GLE70. According to the Neupert effect (Neupert, 1968), this phase corresponds to the effective particle acceleration in a flare. A considerably higher correlation between the fluences of near-Earth proton enhancements, on the one hand, and fluences of the SXR and microwave emissions, on the other hand, as found by Grechnev *et al.* (2015), indicates a dependence of the total number of high-energy protons arriving at the Earth orbit on both the intensity and total duration of the acceleration process. The role of the flare duration is obvious when protons are accelerated simultaneously with electrons in a flare, but it is more difficult to expect such a correspondence if protons are accelerated by shock waves far away from a flare region. Therefore, a considerably higher correlation between the fluences of protons and flare emissions than between their peak values found for the 26 December 2001 event indicates a significant contribution from flare processes to the acceleration of protons. The discussion of the particle event will be further addressed in Paper III.

## 3.1. Conclusion

Our analysis has confirmed that the solar event in active region 9742 associated with the M7.1 flare was the only source of the near-Earth proton event and GLE63. No signs of a concurrent far-side event have been found.

The event in AR 9742 consisted of two flares. The first flare (04:30-05:03 UT) reached a GOES importance of about M1.6. The brightness temperatures at 5.7 GHz exceeded 10 MK. The main flare, up to M7.1 importance, started at 05:04 UT, when a CME was launched. The microwave sources reached about 250 MK. The SSRT data indicate that strong magnetic fields were involved in the main flare. These magnetic fields were probably associated with the sunspot in the west part of AR 9742.

The two microwave sources observed at 5.7 GHz initially approached each other nearly along the magnetic neutral line and then moved away from it like expanding ribbons. These motions are difficult to understand in the frame of a single-loop hypothesis. A natural explanation of the observed properties of the microwave sources might be their association to the legs of the flare arcade. To verify this conjecture, microwave data should be compared with the flare arcade or ribbons observed in a different spectral range, where they are clearly visible. These issues will be addressed in Paper II. The possible causes of the high proton productivity of the 26 December 2001 event will be considered in Paper III.

This is a first detailed study of a major long-duration flare from combined 2D and 1D SSRT data. A relatively low side-lobe level of the SSRT beam and rather large areas of the microwave sources allowed using the images produced by the SSRT without cleaning. The techniques described here provide an opportunity to study important major flares recorded with the SSRT in the past. The analysis has revealed shortcomings of the imaging and calibration software that were not known before because of a deficient experience in handling major flares. Some imperfect techniques and software (*e.g.* calibration routines) have been improved in the course of our study. The development of some others is in progress.

The SSRT routinely carried out imaging observations of the whole Sun at 5.7 GHz based on the initial operating principle from 1996 to July 2013. Currently, the central part of the antenna array is under reconstruction to upgrade the SSRT to the multi-frequency (4-8 GHz)*Siberian Radio Heliograph* (SRH: Lesovoi *et al.*, 2012, 2014). The remaining part of the original antenna array continues the initial-principle observations.

Acknowledgements We thank A.T. Altyntsev for the idea of this study and useful remarks, and our colleagues for their contribution, efforts, and assistance. The data used here are provided by the SSRT team in

Badary. S.A. Anfinogentov has substantially contributed to the collaborative development of the SSRT rawdata processing and calibrating software and assisted in the computations. S.V. Lesovoy developed the data acquisition system and the routine imaging software. We thank him and A.M. Uralov for fruitful discussions. We appreciate the memories of T.A. Treskov, one of the main developers of the SSRT, whose ideas helped us to implement the techniques described here, and N.N. Kardapolova, who managed the SSRT observations for many years. We thank the referee for useful remarks.

We are grateful to the instrumental teams of SOHO/MDI (ESA and NASA), GOES, USAF RSTN Network, and Nobeyama Radioheliograph.

A. Kochanov was supported by the Russian Foundation of Basic Research under grants 15-32-20504 mol-a-ved and 15-02-01089.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

# References

Alissandrakis, C.E., Preka-Papadema, P.: 1984, Astron. Astrophys. 139, 507.

- Alissandrakis, C.E., Kochanov, A.A., Patsourakos, S., Altyntsev, A.T., Lesovoi, S.V., Lesovoya, N.N.: 2013, Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan 65, SP1, S8. DOI.
- Altyntsev, A.T., Sych, R.A., Grechnev, V.V., Meshalkina, N.S., Rudenko, G.V.: 2002, Solar Phys. 206, 155. DOI.
- Altyntsev, A.T., Grechnev, V.V., Meshalkina, N.S., Yan, Y.: 2007, Solar Phys. 242, 111. DOI.
- Altyntsev, A., Meshalkina, N., Mészárosová, H., Karlický, M., Palshin, V., Lesovoi, S.: 2016, Solar Phys. 291, 445. DOI.
- Aschwanden, M.J.: 2012, Space Sci. Rev. 173, 3. DOI.
- Bogachev, S.A., Somov, B.V., Kosugi, T., Sakao, T.: 2005, Astrophys. J. 630, 561. DOI.
- Borovik, V.N.: 1994, Adv. Solar Phys. 432, 185. DOI.
- Chertok, I.M., Belov, A.V., Grechnev, V.V.: 2015, Solar Phys. 290, 1947. DOI.
- Cliver, E.W.: 2006, Astrophys. J. 639, 1206. DOI.
- Delaboudinière, J.-P., Artzner, G.E., Brunaud, J., Gabriel, A.H., Hochedez, J.F., Millier, F., Song, X.Y., Au, B., Dere, K.P., Howard, R.A., et al.: 1995, Solar Phys. 162, 291. DOI.
- Dierckxsens, M., Tziotziou, K., Dalla, S., Patsou, I., Marsh, M.S., Crosby, N.B., Malandraki, O., Tsiropoula, G.: 2015, *Solar Phys.* 290, 841. DOI.
- Dulk, G.A.: 1985, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 23, 169. DOI.
- Dulk, G.A., Marsh, K.A.: 1982, Astrophys. J. 259, 350. DOI.
- Gopalswamy, N., Xie, H., Yashiro, S., Akiyama, S., Mäkelä, P., Usoskin, I.G.: 2012, *Space Sci. Rev.* **171**, 23. DOI.
- Gopalswamy, N., Xie, H., Akiyama, S., Yashiro, S., Usoskin, I.G., Davila, J.M.: 2013, Astrophys. J. Lett. 765, L30. DOI.
- Grechnev, V.V., Nakajima, H.: 2002, Astrophys. J. 566, 539. DOI.
- Grechnev, V.V., Lesovoi, S.V., Smolkov, G.Y., Krissinel, B.B., Zandanov, V.G., Altyntsev, A.T., Kardapolova, N.N., Sergeev, R.Y., Uralov, A.M., Maksimov, V.P., Lubyshev, B.I.: 2003, *Solar Phys.* 216, 239. DOI.
- Grechnev, V.V., Kurt, V.G., Chertok, I.M., Uralov, A.M., Nakajima, H., Altyntsev, A.T., Belov, A.V., Yushkov, B.Y., Kuznetsov, S.N., Kashapova, L.K., Meshalkina, N.S., Prestage, N.P.: 2008, *Solar Phys.* 252, 149. DOI.
- Grechnev, V.V., Kiselev, V.I., Uralov, A.M., Meshalkina, N.S., Kochanov, A.A.: 2013a, Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan 65, SP1, S9. DOI.
- Grechnev, V.V., Meshalkina, N.S., Chertok, I.M., Kiselev, V.I.: 2013b, Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan 65, SP1, S4. DOI.
- Grechnev, V.V., Kiselev, V.I., Meshalkina, N.S., Chertok, I.M.: 2015, Solar Phys. 290, 2827. DOI.

Grechnev, V.V., Uralov, A.M., Kiselev, V.I., Kochanov, A.A.: 2016, Solar Phys., submitted (Paper II).

- Hanaoka, Y.: 1996, Solar Phys. 165, 275. DOI.
- Hanaoka, Y.: 1997, Solar Phys. 173, 319. DOI.
- Handy, B.N., Acton, L.W., Kankelborg, C.C., Wolfson, C.J., Akin, D.J., Bruner, M.E., Caravalho, R., Catura, R.C., Chevalier, R., Duncan, D.W., et al.: 1999, Solar Phys. 187, 229. DOI.
- Kahler, S.W.: 1982, J. Geophys. Res. 87, 3439. DOI.
- Kochanov, A., Anfinogentov, S., Prosovetsky, D., Rudenko, G., Grechnev, V.: 2013, Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan 65, SP1, S19. DOI.
- Kurt, V., Belov, A., Mavromichalaki, H., Gerontidou, M.: 2004, Ann. Geophys. 22, 2255. DOI.

- Kuznetsov, A.A., Nita, G.M., Fleishman, G.D.: 2011, Astrophys. J. 742, 87. DOI.
- Lesovoi, S.V., Altyntsev, A.T., Ivanov, E.F., Gubin, A.V.: 2012, Solar Phys. 280, 651. DOI.
- Lesovoi, S.V., Altyntsev, A.T., Ivanov, E.F., Gubin, A.V.: 2014, Res. Astron. Astrophys. 14, 864. DOI.
- Meshalkina, N.S., Altyntsev, A.T., Zhdanov, D.A., Lesovoi, S.V., Kochanov, A.A., Yan, Y.H., Tan, C.M.: 2012, Solar Phys. 280, 537. DOI.
- Nakajima, H., Nishio, M., Enome, S., Shibasaki, K., Takano, T., Hanaoka, Y., Torii, C., Sekiguchi, H., et al.: 1994, Proc. IEEE 82, 705. DOI.
- Neupert, W.M.: 1968, Astrophys. J. Lett. 153, L59. DOI.
- Nishio, M., Yaji, K., Kosugi, T., Nakajima, H., Sakurai, T.: 1997, Astrophys. J. 489, 976. DOI.
- Nitta, N.V., Liu, Y., DeRosa, M.L., Nightingale, R.W.: 2012, Space Sci. Rev. 171, 61. DOI.
- Reames, D.V.: 2009, Astrophys. J. 693, 812. DOI.
- Scherrer, P.H., Bogart, R.S., Bush, R.I., Hoeksema, J.T., Kosovichev, A.G., Schou, J., Rosenberg, W., Springer, L., Tarbell, T.D., Title, A., et al.: 1995, Solar Phys. 162, 129. DOI.
- Smolkov, G.I., Pistolkors, A.A., Treskov, T.A., Krissinel, B.B., Putilov, V.A.: 1986, Astrophys. Space Sci. 119, 1. DOI.
- Thakur, N., Gopalswamy, N., Xie, H., Mäkelä, P., Yashiro, S., Akiyama, S., Davila, J.M.: 2014, Astrophys. J. Lett. 790, L13. DOI.
- Trottet, G., Samwel, S., Klein, K.-L., Dudok de Wit, T., Miteva, R.: 2015, Solar Phys. 290, 819. DOI.
- Tzatzakis, V., Nindos, A., Alissandrakis, C.E.: 2008, Solar Phys. 253, 79. DOI.
- Uralov, A.M., Grechnev, V.V., Rudenko, G.V., Rudenko, I.G., Nakajima, H.: 2008, Solar Phys. 249, 315. DOI.
- Yashiro, S., Gopalswamy, N., Michalek, G., St. Cyr, O.C., Plunkett, S.P., Rich, N.B., Howard, R.A.: 2004, J. Geophys. Res. 109, A07105. DOI.
- Zimovets, I.V., Kuznetsov, S.A., Struminsky, A.B.: 2013, Astron. Lett. 39, 267. DOI.
- Zirin, H., Baumert, B.M., Hurford, G.J.: 1991, Astrophys. J. 370, 779. DOI.