

Interaction and Eruption of Two Filaments Observed by Hinode, SOHO, and STEREO

Y. Li and M.-D. Ding*

Department of Astronomy, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China;
Key Laboratory for Modern Astronomy and Astrophysics (Nanjing University), Ministry of Education,
Nanjing 210093, China

Abstract We investigate the interaction between two filaments and the subsequent filament eruption event observed from different view angles by Hinode, the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO), and the Solar Terrestrial Relations Observatory (STEREO). In the event, the two filaments rose high, interacted with each other, and finally were ejected along two different paths. We measure the bulk-flow velocity using spectroscopic data. We find significant outflows at the speed of a few hundreds of km s^{-1} during the filament eruption, and also some downflows at a few tens of km s^{-1} at the edge of the eruption region in the late stage of the eruption. The erupting material was composed of plasmas with a wide temperature range of 10^4 – 10^6 K. These results shed light on the filament nature and the coronal dynamics.

Key words: line: profiles — Sun: corona — Sun: filaments — Sun: flares — Sun: UV radiation

1 INTRODUCTION

Solar filaments (prominences) are cold dense plasmas suspended in the corona. Their fine threads are seen in emission as bright prominences at the limb and in absorption as dark filaments against the disk. A filament is formed and maintained above the magnetic polarity inversion line, in a magnetic structure called a filament channel, in which the filament can be supported by the magnetic field. For filament formation and maintenance, Martin (1998) gave a comprehensive review, including the filament structure, chirality, magnetic topology, and mass flows. Filaments would erupt at the onset of MHD instabilities or loss of equilibrium. It is now widely accepted that filament eruption is often associated with other solar activities, such as solar flares and coronal mass ejections (CMEs). Martens & Kuin (1989) considered filaments as the coronal part of an electric current that loses MHD equilibrium at the flare onset and starts to erupt outwards. This leads directly to the observed CME. Kaastra (1985) showed that as the filament moves upwards, a neutral line is formed beneath it, which becomes the site of magnetic reconnection and particle acceleration during the flare. The accelerated particles precipitate into the chromosphere along the field lines, and produce hard X-ray, UV, and optical (such as $H\alpha$) emission forming flare ribbons. The energy deposition in the lower atmosphere further causes chromospheric evaporation, which leads to the observed coronal extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) and soft X-ray emissions (Canfield et al., 1982).

It has been proposed that two filaments (or filament segments) of the same chirality, when approaching each other, can merge to form long filaments or interact with each other (d’Azambuja & d’Azambuja, 1948; Martens & Zwaan, 2001). This has been confirmed by observations (Schmieder et al., 2004) and

* E-mail: dmd@nju.edu.cn

numerical simulations (DeVore et al., 2005; Aulanier et al., 2006). However, in these observations and numerical experiments, the authors found no initiation of an eruption. Theoretically, Martens & Zwaan (2001) presented a “head-to-tail” linkage model for the eruption of filaments. Furthermore, Uralov et al. (2002) suggested that the dual-filament interaction could cause solar eruptions. It is fortunate that there are indeed a few observations indicating that the linkage of two filaments can initiate an eruption. Su et al. (2007) presented new observations of the interaction of two nearby but distinct $H\alpha$ filaments and their successive eruptions. In the event, the interaction was initiated mainly by an active filament of them. They considered that the second filament eruption may be the result of a loss of stability owing to the sudden mass injection from the first filament eruption. Liu et al. (2010) presented another interesting case: two filaments erupted simultaneously and there was no transfer of material between them during the initial stage; the two filaments merged together along the ejection path, indicating the coalescence between the two interacting flux ropes. Moreover, Bone et al. (2009) reported observations of the interaction and merging of two filaments, one active and one quiescent, and their subsequent eruption. Even so, observations of interacting and erupting filaments are still rare at present.

With the multi-wavelength observations from Hinode, the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO), and the Solar Terrestrial Relations Observatory (STEREO), we investigate the interaction and eruption of two filaments. We present observations of the filament interaction and mass ejection, and also measure the bulk-flow velocities of the eruption using spectroscopic data. It is found that the two filaments rise to approach each other, interact, and finally are ejected along two different paths. We describe the observing instruments and data in Section 2. The evolution of the filament interaction and eruption is presented in Section 3. Section 4 gives the bulk-flow velocities of the eruption. Finally, a discussion is given in Section 5.

2 OVERVIEW OF THE OBSERVATIONS AND INSTRUMENTS

The event of filament eruption was located in the solar active region NOAA 11045. The active region produced several C-class and an M-class flares within a few hours on 2010 February 8. Among them, a GOES C1.8 flare, which started at 11:00 UT and peaked at 11:14 UT, was in close association with the filament eruption. Figure 1 shows the GOES 1–8 Å soft X-ray light curve around the flare time. In addition, a white-light CME was first detected with SOHO/LASCO at 06:30 UT, having a linear speed of 153 km s^{-1} and lasting about 12 hours.

2.1 Optical Images from Hinode/SOT

The filament eruption was observed in $H\alpha$ images taken with Hinode/SOT (Solar Optical Telescope; Tsuneta et al. 2008) with a spatial resolution of $0.16''$ per pixel. SOT observed the event in $H\alpha$ every half a minute before 10:22 UT and after 12:03 UT, but only obtained one image in between (at 11:41 UT). During this period, SOT was observing the same active region using the Ca II H line with a cadence of ten minutes; thus we can study the evolution of the two flare ribbons. SOT filtergraph magnetograms are not available for this study. Instead, we use the data from SOHO/MDI (Michelson Doppler Imager; Scherrer et al. 1995) to study the magnetic field in this active region.

2.2 EUV Images from SOHO/EIT and STEREO/SECCHI/EUVI

The interaction and eruption of the filaments were observed in EUV by SOHO/EIT (Extreme-ultraviolet Imaging Telescope; Delaboudiniere et al. 1995) and by the SECCHI/EUVI (Sun Earth Connection Coronal and Heliospheric Investigation/Extreme UltraViolet Imager; Wülser et al. 2004) instrument onboard the STEREO spacecraft (A and B). The EIT images were obtained in 195 Å ($\sim 1.5 \times 10^6 \text{ K}$) with a cadence of 12 minutes, while the SECCHI/EUVI images were observed in 304 Å ($\sim 6.0 \times 10^4 \text{ K}$) and 195 Å with cadences of 10 and 5 minutes, respectively. The active region was located near the center of the solar disk in the EIT field of view (FOV), while it appeared on the east and west limbs in the STEREO-A and B FOVs, respectively. On that day, these two spacecraft were separated by an angle of




Fig. 1 GOES 1–8 Å soft X-ray light curve for a period covering the filament interaction and eruption. The vertical solid lines show the time range of the EIS scan over the active region, while the dotted lines show the time period of filament eruption.

$\sim 136^\circ$. We use the EUV data between 10:40 UT and 11:30 UT. This period is from about 20 minutes prior to the flare onset to about 30 minutes after it, thus covering the entire eruption process.

2.3 Spectroscopic Data from Hinode/EIS

We measure the Doppler velocities of the mass ejection from spectroscopic data taken with Hinode/EIS (Extreme-ultraviolet Imaging Spectrometer; Culhane et al. 2007). EIS covers two wavelength bands, 170–211 Å and 246–292 Å, referred to as the short and long wavelength bands, respectively. These bands include some strong transition region and coronal lines over a wide temperature range. We mainly analyze the Fe XII 195.12 Å line here. In observations, the 2'' slit of EIS was used to scan the active region of $324'' \times 376''$ with an exposure time of 45 s. The scanning lasted for 2 hours and 6 minutes, as indicated in Figure 1. Our study focuses on the region hosting the eruption, which has a size of about $30'' \times 90''$. EIS slit crossed this region from 11:11 UT to 11:22 UT (between the two vertical dotted lines in Figure 1). Note that, for all the images from EIS, we use the coordinates in arcseconds relative to a reference point inside the EIS raster FOV.

We reduce the EIS data using the standard processing package. This corrects the detector bias and dark current, as well as hot pixels and cosmic ray hits, resulting in absolute intensities in $\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \text{Å}^{-1}$. We also make a correction for a slight tilt of the slit on the CCDs. In addition, we correct for the variation in spectral line positions over the Hinode orbit caused by temperature variations in the spectrometer. Such an orbital variation is obtained by averaging the centroid positions over the length of the slit for a quiet region. When measuring the relative Doppler velocity, we use the average line center over a quiet region as the reference Fe XII wavelength.

To interpret the multi-wavelength observations, it is important to co-align the different images. There is an instrumental offset between the images taken in the two EIS CCDs (Young et al., 2007a). To correct this offset, we shift the long wavelength images by 2'' in the solar X-direction and 17'' in the solar Y-direction. To co-align the images from SOT and MDI, we use the sunspots observed by both instruments. The co-alignment between the EIT and EIS data is made using the 195 Å images obtained by both instruments. We estimate the uncertainty of the co-alignment to be within 5''–10''.




Fig. 2 Hinode/SOT $H\alpha$ images before and after the flare onset at 11:00 UT, superimposed with the MDI magnetogram taken at 11:15 UT on February 8. Red and blue contours refer to the positive and negative polarities, respectively, with levels of 200, 500, 900, and 1500 G. The white arrows in panel (a) point to the two close filaments existing prior to the flare onset. The yellow arrow in panel (b) marks the post-flare loops.

3 FILAMENT INTERACTION AND ERUPTION

3.1 The Filaments and Associated Flare

Figure 2 shows the SOT $H\alpha$ images of the flaring region overlaid with the contours of the MDI magnetogram. The two $H\alpha$ images were observed ~ 40 minutes prior to and after the flare onset at 11:00 UT, respectively. The superimposed MDI magnetogram was taken at 11:15 UT, with contour levels of 200, 500, 900, and 1500 G. There are some strong positive (red contours) and negative (blue contours) magnetic patches. The white arrows (Figure 2a) mark the two filaments prior to the flare onset. Considering the filament morphology and the magnetic configuration, it is reasonable to speculate that the dark segments indicated by the two left arrows are magnetically connected with each other, or that they are the two segments of one large filament. In this context, we only mention one filament, which includes the two segments. After the flare (Figure 2b), the two filaments disappeared, and some post-flare loops (shown by the yellow arrow) appeared instead. From the two $H\alpha$ images, we find that the filaments erupted between 10:22 UT and 11:41 UT. The interaction between the left and right filaments and their eruption are more clearly presented in the EUV images described in Section 3.2.

Figure 3 shows the evolution of the two flare ribbons. The SOT Ca II H images have the same FOV as the $H\alpha$ images in Figure 2. The left filament was located between the two flare ribbons, and the right one was on the right side of the ribbons. The two flare ribbons began to brighten at $\sim 11:00$ UT (Figure 3c). They became the brightest near the peak time of the flare soft X-ray emission (Figure 3d). Tens of minutes later, the ribbons gradually disappeared (Figures 3e and 3f).

3.2 The Filament Interaction and Eruption

The filament interaction and eruption are most evident in EUV images from EIT and SECCHI/EUVI. In the FOVs of these instruments, the eruptions appeared near the center of the solar disk and on the east and west limbs, respectively, as mentioned above. Therefore, we can view this event in three different perspectives. Figures 4 and 5 give the pictures in the filters of 304 Å and 195 Å. Prior to the onset of




Fig. 3 SOT Ca II H images during the flare. The image in panel (a) is overlaid with the MDI magnetogram taken at 11:15 UT. The MDI contours and the FOV are the same as that in Figure 2.

the flare, we can see the loop expansion due to the filament rising. There appeared some bright loop structures (marked by the black arrows in Figures 4a, 4b, 5a, 5c, and 5e) at $\sim 10:56$ UT below the core region as compared with the structure at an earlier time (Figure 5b). In particular, there were some loops being contacted (Figure 5e). This may lead to or accelerate the filament interaction and eruption. The two EUV filament channels (EFCs) can be seen in Figures 4a, 4b, 5a, 5c, and 5d, as marked by the white arrows. At an early time ($\sim 10:56$ UT), both of the filaments were relatively stable. They were rising higher and about to erupt at $\sim 11:06$ UT; meanwhile, the two flare ribbons were brightened (see Figure 3). A few minutes later, the two filaments collided and began to erupt, as shown in Figure 5i. Due to the low temporal and spatial resolutions, we cannot distinguish which segment (or both segments) of the left filament met with the right filament. However, we can judge that the right EFC made a collision with the left EFC. This was also confirmed by the observation that the ejected material from the filament suddenly changed the direction of ejection: the cool material was expelled to the left side as shown in Figure 5h when the two EFCs came into contact with each other (shown more clearly in the video 1, available in the electronic edition of the paper). It seems that the two filaments erupted nearly simultaneously after the peak of the flare soft X-ray emission at 11:14 UT (Figures 4e–h, 5g, and 5l). Moreover, a careful inspection reveals two ejection paths, marked by the two arrows in Figures 4e, 4h, 5g, and 5l. Because of the projection effect, the two ejection paths are not clearly visible in EIT images (Figure 5k). The eruptions along different directions can be seen more clearly in the movies (videos 2 and 3). At the later stage of the eruption, some of the filament material cooled down (Figure 5j) and fell

back to the solar surface, while the remainder was ejected into the space, as judged from the ejection velocities measured in Section 4.

From different view angles (Figure 5), we see the different manifestations of filament eruption. Near the solar disk center, the filament eruption appears rather diffuse; while at the limbs the observed ejection is rather collimated. Furthermore, we observe the different shapes of the erupting filaments from different passbands. In the 304 Å image, the filament ejection is more extended; while in the 195 Å image, the ejected material is more confined. The ejection being visible in emission in a few passbands suggests that the filament material is composed of plasmas with a wide temperature range from 6.0×10^4 K to 1.5×10^6 K (see also Figure 6). It is possible that part of the filament material is heated to the coronal temperature during the interaction and eruption. An alternative view is that the ejection contains hot plasmas from the reconnection outflow. In a word, cool material ($\sim 10^4$ K) and hot material ($\sim 10^6$ K) co-exist in the eruption.

4 BULK-FLOW VELOCITIES OF THE ERUPTIONS

The EIS spectroscopic data were obtained by scanning the active region from solar west to east between 10:10 UT and 12:16 UT. Figure 6 shows the intensity maps in EIS spectral lines. The white boxes mark the main eruption region, which was scanned from 11:11 UT to 11:22 UT. During that time, the filaments were erupting. We can see the bright emission from the flare kernel and from the filament eruption in most spectral lines. The filament material is visible in the low temperature line of Mg v ($\sim 3.0 \times 10^5$ K), as well as in the high temperature line of Fe xv ($\sim 2.0 \times 10^6$ K).

Figure 7 shows the Fe XII 195.12 Å intensity and Doppler velocity maps. In this figure, the Doppler velocity is derived from a single Gaussian fitting to the observed spectral line. We detect significant outflows (negative velocities) with a speed of hundreds of km s^{-1} in the eruption region. In addition, the analysis also reveals some weak redshift (positive velocities) of about tens of km s^{-1} near the edge of the region (indicated by the arrows). The redshifts may originate from the cooling plasmas, which were falling back to the solar surface at the late stage of the eruption when the EIS slit passed over the edge of the region. When measuring the Doppler velocity, we notice that, in the quiet and redshifted regions, the line profiles can be well fitted by a single Gaussian function; while in the region with significant outflows, the line profiles show obvious double Gaussian components, with a blueshifted component superimposed on a relatively static one. Therefore, we consider to use the double Gaussian function to fit the line profiles in the main eruption region. Figure 8 shows the results of the Fe XII line. In the velocity panel, most of the numbers are derived from a double Gaussian fitting. We also calculate the ratio r , of the integrated intensity of the blueshifted component to that of the entire profile. It is plotted in the ratio panel (r becomes zero when the line profile is well fitted by a single Gaussian function). We find that at many locations the blueshifted component is stronger than the static component, i.e., the intensity ratio as defined above is over 0.5. Figure 9 shows an example of double Gaussian fitting for the pixel marked by the plus sign in Figure 8. The intensity of the blueshifted component reaches even 67% of the total intensity, and the blueshifted velocity is 195 km s^{-1} . Double Gaussian shapes are also present in other line profiles (such as Fe XIII and Fe XV). In the region marked by the arrow in the velocity panel of Figure 8, the velocity of the blueshifted component can be as high as 300 km s^{-1} , though its intensity is less than half of the total. If corrected for the projection effect, the true velocity may be greater than the escape velocity on solar surface ($\sim 618 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). It means that there is some material going into the space as mentioned in Section 3.2. In fact, the time when the EIS slit scanned over this region, $\sim 11:11$ UT, corresponds to the onset of the filament eruption.

The spectral line profile in the eruption region cannot be fitted by a single Gaussian function. Although the low spatial resolution of the scanning observation may cause such a deviation from Gaussian, we think it more likely that there are multi-velocity components along the line of sight. This is supported by the observation that the two filaments are ejected along different trajectories.




Fig. 4 SECCHI/EUVI 304 Å images of the filament eruption region (evolving from top to bottom). “SA” refers to STEREO-A and “SB” to STEREO-B. The black arrows in (a) and (b) mark the bright expanding loops. The white arrows point to the filaments or EFCs.




Fig. 5 EIT 195 Å images and EUVI 195 Å images of the filament eruption region (evolving from top to bottom). “SA” and “SB” refer to STEREO-A and STEREO-B, respectively. In (b), the EIT image is overlaid with the MDI magnetogram taken at 11:15 UT. The black arrows in (a), (c), and (e) mark the bright expanding loops. The white arrows point to the filaments or EFCs. In (k), the white box shows the filament eruption region that we focus on in the study.

5 DISCUSSION

We study the interaction and eruption of two filaments observed from three different view angles. The event was accompanied by a C1.8 two-ribbon flare. At first, we observe the expansion of coronal loops. Then, the two filaments rose higher, interacted with each other, and were finally ejected along two different paths. During the interaction and eruption, the flare ribbons were brightened and then gradually decay. The filament eruption was observed in emission in both the 304 Å image and the 195 Å band, suggesting that the erupted filament material contained plasmas with a wide temperature range of 10^4 – 10^6 K. We measure the bulk-flow velocities using the EIS spectroscopic data. Significant outflows were detected with a speed of several hundred km s^{-1} during the eruption. Downflows of tens of km s^{-1} were also observed at the edge of the eruption region during the late stage of the eruption. Most of the blueshifted line profiles are double-peaked and can be fitted to two gaussians. After correcting for the projection effect, we find that the upward velocity may exceed the solar escape velocity, suggesting that some filament material may be ejected into the space.

This event occurred in an active region that produced a number of large flares. From the movie of the MDI 96-min magnetogram (video 4, available in the electronic edition), we can see that the strong positive and negative magnetic patches were approaching, disintegrating, canceling, and disappearing, especially in the flaring region at $\sim 11:00$ UT. From the available data we find that there is a close relationship between the flare and the filament eruption. Before the flare started, the coronal loops appeared to expand. Then the filaments rose higher. Several minutes later, the flare ribbons were brightened. It is therefore likely that magnetic reconnection occurred between the two filaments and triggered the filament eruption. As the filaments rose, they began to be linked together, interact with each other, and were finally erupted. After the peak of the flare, the filaments were ejected along two different paths. Some of the material escaped from the Sun, and the remainder cooled down and fell back to the solar surface. The interaction of the two filaments may lead to or accelerate the flare energy release.

It has been discussed that pairs of filaments (or filament segments) can merge and interact with each other (d’Azambuja & d’Azambuja, 1948; Martin, 1998; Martens & Zwaan, 2001; Schmieder et al., 2004; DeVore et al., 2005; Aulanier et al., 2006). However, these studies are mainly focused on the filament formation. Observations of the evolution and eruption of filament pairs are valuable and can provide a better understanding of the filament nature and coronal dynamics. To our knowledge, Su et al. (2007) reported the first observational study of the interaction between two distinct $H\alpha$ filaments and the successive eruptions. In their event, the two filaments erupted with an interval of 40 minutes. It is observed that some material was transferred from the filament that erupted first to the other filament, and triggered its eruption. This event was associated with a CME. Quite recently, Liu et al. (2010) presented another interesting event: two filaments erupted simultaneously and there was no mass transfer between them during the initial stage. The two filaments merged together along the ejection path and no CME was observed. Furthermore, Bone et al. (2009) reported observations of the interaction and full merging of two filaments, one active and the other quiescent, and their subsequent eruption. In these observational studies, the authors mainly used the ground-based $H\alpha$ data with high cadence that observes filament plasmas at a low temperature. In our study, however, we use multi-wavelength images in both EUV and $H\alpha$ to show the interaction and eruption of the two filaments from three different view angles. We can compare this event with the previously reported events. The two filaments in this event seem to erupt simultaneously without evident mass transfer between them. This is similar to the case of Liu et al. (2010), but different from the one studied by Su et al. (2007). Moreover, our event was associated with two active filaments, while the event presented by Bone et al. (2009) involved one active filament and one quiescent filament. In particular, we find that the two filaments were ejected along two different paths. These different paths of ejections can be explained by the 3D magnetic reconnection as shown in numerical simulations of Jiang et al. (2011a,b). The magnetic reconnection occurred when the two filaments were rising and interacting with each other. Moreover, in observations, the EFCs did not seem to merge; therefore, they were linked only partially before the filament material was ejected. This may also have some relation to the different ejection paths. The details need to be verified by numerical simulations.

The chirality (handedness) of filaments plays an important role in the merging and linkage of filament pairs. Our results support the scenario that only filaments of the same chirality can link up (Martens & Zwaan, 2001; Schmieder et al., 2004; DeVore et al., 2005; Aulanier et al., 2006; Su et al., 2007). In our event, the two filaments are both dextral, and they are linked up and interact with each other. This is consistent with the previous research.

Spectroscopic data are important for the study of filament heating and coronal dynamics (Li & Ding, 2009). In this event, we only analyze the EIS Fe XII 195.12 Å line to obtain the bulk-flow velocity of the filament eruption. In fact, the EIS line profiles are complicated in most regions, especially in eruption regions. They are often double-peaked, consisting of static and shifted components (Li & Ding, 2011), which can be well fitted by double Gaussians. The double-peaked profile may indicate presence of multiple line-of-sight velocities or may result from the low spatial resolution of the observation. In either case, the dynamics of the filaments and corona are very complicated during the eruption. In addition, we can use the spectroscopic data to measure the temperature and density of filaments and to analyze the plasma heating and cooling during the eruption. To this end, we need spectroscopic data and multi-wavelength images with higher temporal and spatial resolutions.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank P. F. Chen, J. Qiu, and Y. Guo for their helpful discussions and the referee for valuable comments on the paper. This work was supported by NSFC under grants 10828306 and 10933003 and by NKBRFSF under grant 2011CB811402. Hinode is a Japanese mission developed and launched by ISAS/JAXA, collaborating with NAOJ as a domestic partner, and NASA (USA) and STFC (UK) as international partners. Scientific operation of the Hinode mission is conducted by the Hinode science team organized at ISAS/JAXA. Support for the post-launch operation is provided by JAXA and NAOJ (Japan), STFC (U.K.), NASA, ESA, and NSC (Norway).

References

- Aulanier, G., DeVore, C. R., & Antiochos, S. K. 2006, *ApJ*, 646, 1349
- Bone, L. A., van Driel-Gesztelyi, L., Culhane, J. L., Aulanier, G., & Liewer, P. 2009, *ApJ*, 259, 31
- Canfield, R. C., Gunkler, T. A., Hudson, H. S., Acton, L. W., Leibacher, J. W., & Kiplinger, A. L. 1982, *Adv. Space Res.*, 2, 145
- Culhane, J. L., et al. 2007, *Sol. Phys.*, 243, 19
- d’Azambuja, L., & d’Azambuja, M. 1948, *Ann. Obs. Paris Meudon*, 6, 7
- Delaboudiniere, J.-P., et al. 1995, *Sol. Phys.*, 162, 291
- DeVore, C. R., Antiochos, S. K., & Aulanier, G. 2005, *ApJ*, 629, 1122
- Jiang, R. L., Shibata, K., Isobe, H., & Fang, C. 2011a, *ApJ*, 726, L16
- Jiang, R. L., Shibata, K., Isobe, H., & Fang, C. 2011b, *RAA (Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics)*, 11, 701
- Kaastra, J. S. 1985, *Solar flares: An Electrodynamical Model*, Thesis, University of Utrecht
- Li, Y., & Ding, M. D. 2009, *RAA (Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics)*, 9, 829
- Li, Y., & Ding, M. D. 2011, *ApJ*, 727, 98
- Liu, Y., Su, J., Shen, Y., & Yang, L. 2010, *IAU Symposium*, 264, 99
- Martens, P. C. H., & Kuin, N. P. M. 1989, *Sol. Phys.*, 122, 263
- Martens, P. C., & Zwaan, C. 2001, *ApJ*, 558, 872
- Martin, S. F. 1998, *Sol. Phys.*, 182, 107
- Scherrer, P. H., et al. 1995, *Sol. Phys.*, 162, 129
- Schmieder, B., Mein, N., Deng, Y., Dumitrache, C., Malherbe, J. M., Staiger, J., & Deluca, E. E. 2004, *Sol. Phys.*, 223, 119
- Su, J., Liu, Y., Kurokawa, H., Mao, X., Yang, S., Zhang, H., & Wang, H. 2007, *Sol. Phys.*, 242, 53
- Tsuneta, S., et al. 2008, *Sol. Phys.*, 249, 167
- Uralov, A. M., Lesovoi, S. V., Zandanov, V. G., & Grechnev, V. V. 2002, *Sol. Phys.*, 208, 69
- Wülser, J.-P., et al. 2004, *Proc. SPIE*, 5171, 111
- Young, P. R., Del Zanna, G., Mason, H. E., Doschek, G. A., Culhane, L., & Hara, H. 2007a, *PASJ*, 59, 727




Fig. 6 Intensity maps of the EIS spectral lines. The white boxes mark the main eruption region with the same FOV as the white box in Figure 5. The filament material is visible in most of these images. Note that the coordinates are relative to a reference point in arcseconds inside the EIS raster FOV (the same for Figures 7 and 8), and that there is an instrumental offset between the two EIS CCDs recording the short and long wavelength images, respectively. The dark/bright vertical lines refer to missing/abnormal data points.




Fig. 7 EIS 195 Å intensity and Doppler velocity maps. The white solid box marks the filament eruption region. The white dashed box represents the quiet region that we use to calculate the reference wavelength. All the values in the velocity panel are obtained from the single Gaussian fitting. The arrows point to the downflow areas at the edge of the main eruption region.




Fig. 8 EIS 195 Å intensity and Doppler velocity maps of the filament eruption region marked by the white solid box in Figure 7. The velocity field is obtained by combining the results from either the double Gaussian fitting or the single Gaussian fitting. When the double Gaussian fitting is applied, the velocities refer to those of the blueshifted components. The right panel shows the intensity ratio between the blueshifted component and the whole profile. The ratio equals zero when the profile can be well fitted by a single Gaussian function. The white arrow points to the region showing large Doppler velocities over 250 km s^{-1} at the beginning of the eruption. The line profile and its fitting result at the plus sign is shown in Figure 9.




Fig. 9 A typical line profile and its double Gaussian fitting at the plus sign in Figure 8. The histogram is the observed profile and the solid line is the fitting result. The vertical dotted line refers to the reference wavelength. This line is well fitted by double Gaussian components that are plotted with dashed curves. The velocity for the blueshifted component is 195 km s^{-1} , and its intensity ratio to the whole profile is 0.67.