12th European Solar Physics Meeting 8 - 12 September 2008 Freiburg, Germany



Electronic proceedings

For further information on the meeting, for all abstracts of talks and posters as well as other articles of these proceedings, please visit the following web site:

http://espm.kis.uni-freiburg.de

edited by Hardi Peter Kiepenheuer-Institut für Sonnenphysik Freiburg, Germany peter@kis.uni-freiburg.de



Tuesday 16:30-17:00

Particle Acceleration and Energy Release in RHESSI Era

Kontar, E.

University of Glasgow

Since high energy emission (X-rays and gamma-rays) represents optically-thin radiation from energetic particles, it is a relatively straightforward, and hence extremely valuable, tool in the diagnostic study of flare-accelerated electrons and ions at the Sun. The observed X-ray/gamma-ray flux is fundamentally a convolution of the cross-section for the emission process(es) in question with the distribution function(s) of accelerated particles, which are in turn functions of energy, direction, spatial location and time. To address the key problems of particle acceleration, propagation as well as energy release one needs to infer as much information as possible on the particle distribution function, through a de-convolution of this fundamental relationship.

This review presents recent observational progress toward the understanding of energy release and particle acceleration using spectroscopic, imaging and polarization measurements, primarily from the Ramaty High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (RHESSI). Previous conclusions regarding the energy, angular (pitch angle) and spatial distributions of energetic electrons and ions in solar flares are critically reviewed. The diagnostics of radiation processes, particle transport, and acceleration, using both spectroscopic and imaging techniques will be discussed. The unprecedented quality of the RHESSI data in combination with novel data analysis techniques have revealed previously unknown details of energetic particle distributions and imposed new challenging constraints on the particle acceleration.



PARTICLE ACCELERATION AND ENERGY RELEASE IN RHESSI ERA

Eduard Kontar

Department of Physics and Astronomy University of Glasgow, UK

12th European Solar Physics Meeting, Freiburg, Germany



Solar flares and accelerated particles



From Emslie et al., 2004

Free magnetic energy ~2 10³² ergs



Observations of energetic particles





Krucker et al, 2001



Solar corona $T \sim 10^6 \text{ K} => 0.1 \text{ keV per particle}$ Flaring region $T \sim 4x10^7 \text{ K} => 3 \text{ keV}$ per particle Flare volume 10^{27} cm³ => $(10^4 \text{ km})^3$ Plasma density 10¹⁰ cm⁻³ Photons up to > 100 MeV **Electron energies** >10 MeV **Proton energies** >100 MeV Number of energetic electrons 10³⁶ per second **Typical timescale < 0.1 sec Particle spectrum is a power-law (or combination of a few) Anti-correlation between spectral index and particle flux Enhanced abundances of ions Acceleration of ions/electron in different locations?** Large solar flare releases about 10²⁹ ergs/s (about half energy in energetic electrons) 1 megaton of TNT is equal to about 4 x 10²² ergs.



Ramaty High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager

What is RHESSI?



is a NASA-led mission launched in February 2002

RHESSI is designed to investigate particle acceleration and energy release in solar flares through imaging and spectroscopy of hard X-ray and gamma-rays in the range from 3 keV up to 17 MeV (Lin et al 2002).

Spectroscopy: 9 Ge detectors with energy resolution around 1 keV; Imaging: rotating modulating collimators allowing angular resolution down to 2.3 arcsec; Imaging spectroscopy



X-ray spectrum of solar flares

pre-RHESSI X-ray spectra (Kane et al, 1982)



Ramaty High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (RHESSI) spectrum



X-rays and flare accelerated electrons





F(*E*,**Ω**,*r*)=???

1) What is the *energy* distribution, F(E)?

2) What is the *angular* distribution, $F(E, \Omega)$?

3) What is *spatial* distribution, *F*(*E*,*r)?*



For spatially integrated spectrum:

$$I(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{4\pi R^2} \, \overline{n} V \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \overline{F}(E) \, Q(\epsilon, E) \, dE,$$

Thin-target case: For the electron spectrum $F(E) \sim E^{-\delta}$,

a) Electron-ion bremsstrahlung (free-free emission)

Dominant process for energies $\sim 10 - 400 \text{ keV}$ the photon spectrum is $|(\epsilon) \sim \epsilon^{-\delta-1}$

In the simplest form Kramers' approximation:

$$(\epsilon, E) = Z^2 \frac{\sigma_o}{\epsilon E},$$

O

b) Electron-electron bremsstrahlung (free-free emission)

Dominant process for energies above 400 keV the photon spectrum is $|(\epsilon) \sim \epsilon^{-\delta}$

c) Recombination emission (free-bound emission)

Could be dominant process for energies up to 20 keV the photon spectrum is **shifted by ionisation potential** and $|(\epsilon) \sim \epsilon^{-\delta-2}$

(The process requires high temperatures and detailed ionisation calculations)



gamma-ray emission processes

From Murphy and Share, 2004



a) narrow-gamma lines

Accelerated protons and alpha particles

b) broad-gamma lines and gamma-ray-continuum

Accelerated heavy ions and unresolved lines and Compton scatttering



Location of X-ray sources



Solar flare geometry in X-rays:

Soft loop-top source and hard X-ray footpoints (Krucker & Lin 2002; Emslie et al, 2003)

What is the origin of nonthermal coronal X-ray sources?



Location of energy release



Liu et al, 2004

Shibata, 1996

Do we observe magnetic reconnection?





Location of energy release



From Xu et al, 2007, Emslie et al, 2008

<u>Number</u> of particles in acceleration region

N = n A (2L)

Hard X-ray intensity $I(\varepsilon)$ is proportional to the <u>rate of injection</u> of electrons at energy $E_o > \varepsilon$:

dN/dt (> ε) ~ 10³⁴ l(ε)

Specific acceleration rate

γ = (1/N) dN/dt (particles s⁻¹ per particle)



 $=\frac{e^3n_{\rm e}\ln\Lambda}{6\pi\epsilon^2\,k\,T}$ $E_{\rm D}$

Dreicer field (Dreicer, 1959)

The size and density of the acceleration region, plus the hard X-ray brightness, can be used to determine the specific acceleration rate (particles s⁻¹ particle⁻¹) – values are ~ $(0.1 - 5) \times 10^{-3}$

Consistency with sub-Dreicer models (e.g. Kuijpers (1981), Heyvaerts (1981), Holman (1985), etc) require a very narrow range of accelerating electric fields

For super-Dreicer current sheet acceleration (e.g. Martens (1998), Litvinenko (1996, 2003), Fletcher & Petkaki, 1997, Mori et al, 1998, Browning & Dalla, 2007), the specific acceleration rate is determined by the aspect ratio of the current sheet.

For stochastic acceleration models (e.g. Miller 1991 etc), values for the specific acceleration rate are generally consistent with the data, but more simulations are needed.



From X-rays to electrons



-Deviations from power-laws; Spectral features inconsistent with simple models

- High/low energy cutoffs in the electron spectra

-Possibility to study acceleration and propagation effects on non-uniform plasma ionisations, return currents, etc



Low energy cutoffs



•Requiring that the assumed thermal emission dominates over non-thermal emissions, Sui *et al.* (2005) and that a low energy cutoff of > 24 keV should be present.

•Assuming "theoretical Neupert effect" to be satisfied, Veronig *et al.* (2005) conclude that the low-energy cutoffs should be between 10 keV and 30 keV for four flares analysed in their paper.

•Hannah *et al.* (2008) have used an empirical relation between the observed parameters of the photon power-law and the low-energy cutoff of the electron distribution, and have found that the low-energy cutoffs in 25000 microflare events could range from 9 to 16 keV with the median being around 12 keV.



Low-energy cutoff in nonthermal electron spectrum



The observed photon flux spectrum at the Earth:



et al. (1977) etc)

4) Albedo as a probe of electron angular distribution (*Kontar*& *Brown 2006; Kasparova et al 2007*)



Electron anisotropy: individual events





Consistent with isotropic distribution (e.g. Kane et al, 1988; Kontar&Brown, 2006, Kasparova et al, 2007) Collisional scattering and return current effects cannot explain the isotropy of electron distribution

=> The angular distribution found is **inconsistent with downward beamed distributions**



Downward beaming



Aschwanden et al, 2002

Higher energy sources appear lower in the chromosphere (consistent with simple collisional transport) => downward electron beaming



Timing analysis (e.g. Aschwanden et al, 1995) also suggests the beaming of electrons

= > electrons beam downwards as in a classical scenario?



From X-rays to electrons





From X-rays to electrons





Electron vs ion acceleration





Gamma-ray spectroscopy



University of Glasgow

Gamma-ray images

Hurford et al, 2006: Imaging of the 2.223 MeV neutroncapture line (blue contours) and the HXR electron

bremsstrahlung (red contours) of the flare on October 28, 2003. The underlying image is from TRACE at 195 Å. The X-ray and γ -ray imaging shown here used exactly the same selection of detector arrays and imaging procedure. Note the apparent loop-top source in the hard X-ray contours.



Why do electrons and ions emit in different locations?



Microflares



Hunnah et al, 2008

Do the observations rule out microflare/nanoflare heating scenario?



RHESSI X-ray spectroscopic data allow to scrutinise current electron acceleration/propagation models.

Spatially resolved electron spectra help to understand the physics of electron transport/acceleration -Do we understand particle transport?

Non-thermal hard X-ray emission from coronal sources: Electron trapping or the signature of acceleration?

If the electron distribution has a lower value low-energy cutoff (<12 keV), do we systematically underestimate the total number of accelerated electrons ?

Anisotropy of electrons: How do the electrons propagate downward but have close to isotropic electron distribution ? Propagation effects or electron acceleration is extended ?

2.2MeV line sources are displaced with respect to X-ray sources for 2 events. Are the electrons and ions are accelerated in different regions?