

Chapter 7

OVERVIEW OF SOLAR FLARES

The Yohkoh Perspective

Hugh Hudson

Space Sciences Laboratory, UC, Berkeley CA USA 94720

hhudson@ssl.berkeley.edu

Lyndsay Fletcher

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK

lyndsay@astro.gla.ac.uk

Josef I. Khan

Tuorla Observatory, University of Turku, 21500 Piikkiö, Finland

jkhan@spd.aas.org

Takeo Kosugi

Institute for Space and Astronautical Sciences, Sagamihara, Kanagawa, Japan

kosugi@solar.isas.ac.jp

Abstract This chapter reviews our knowledge of solar flares, with special emphasis on progress over the past decade. Since 1991 first *Yohkoh* and then TRACE drastically improved our observational capabilities, and the essentially non-flare instrumentation on SOHO have also made great contributions. In this review we assess how these new observations have changed our understanding of the basic physics of flares and consider the implications of these results for future observations with FASR. The discussion emphasizes flaring loops, flare ejecta, particle acceleration, and microflares.

Keywords: Flares, X-rays, ejecta, corona

1. Introduction

The physics of solar flares seems too broad a subject to review adequately within the confines of a single chapter, so we have adopted an alternate strategy here. We pick several key topics and for each briefly review its history, its development in the *Yohkoh* era (mainly the decade of the 1990s), and its potential for development via future observations. We hope to have touched upon some of the most important new developments related to solar flares, and regret that space does not allow a complete description of any of them.

A solar flare is a sudden brightening in the solar atmosphere, typically spread across many layers and involving substantial mass motions and particle acceleration. Brightening implies energy dissipation, and the consensus now holds that the energy for a flare had been stored magnetically in the corona prior to the event. This energy builds up relatively gradually as the result of deep-seated convective motions that deliver magnetic stress into the corona in the form of non-potential magnetic fields; the twist representing this non-potentiality may reside in an emerging flux system. Radio observations from the 1950s, and then X-ray and γ -ray observations from space from the 1960s, revealed that solar flares begin with high-energy processes. The key elements are accelerated particles, the “evaporation” of large masses of high-pressure plasma into coronal magnetic loops, and (frequently) magnetic eruptions as observed in a variety of wavelengths. While almost all of these components had been known prior to the launch of the *Yohkoh* observatory in August, 1991, the decade that followed saw great clarification of the observational situation.

The specific topics discussed here are **the flare concept, flare loops, particle acceleration, mass motions (including global waves), and microflares**. We start with a brief review of new observational capabilities (*Yohkoh*, SOHO, and TRACE), and end with a discussion of how flare models and theories have changed. In this limited review we cannot cite the literature comprehensively, but we do try to give both early and modern references wherever possible. Finally we do not generally discuss FASR’s capability, because other chapters cover this, but our choice of topics emphasizes areas where FASR will contribute in major ways.

2. New Observational Capabilities

2.1 *Yohkoh*

Yohkoh carried two imaging instruments, the Hard X-ray Telescope (HXT; 15-93 keV) and the Soft X-ray Telescope (SXT; $\sim 3\text{-}50\text{\AA}$), as well as two instruments for spectroscopy (WBS and BCS), as summarized in Table 7.1. Observations ran from September, 1991, to December, 2001.

The SXT used grazing-incidence mirrors and a CCD readout (Tsuneta et al., 1991), and thus was a second-generation instrument following the film readouts of the Skylab soft X-ray telescopes. The new instrument had lower scattered-light levels, better spectral selection, better off-axis angular resolution, and (most important) the CCD. The linearity and speed of this type of detector readily allowed movie representations of the data. This made motions easier to recognize, and small-scale motions turned out to be almost ubiquitous (see also Gerassimenko et al., 1974).

The HXT (Kosugi et al., 1991) followed the earlier hard X-ray imagers on the Solar Maximum Mission and Hinotori. Its innovations consisted of speed (large effective area), plus a four-channel spectral capability extending over $\sim 15\text{-}93$ keV.

Table 7.1. Instruments on board *Yohkoh*^a

<i>Instrument</i>	Type	Spectral range
HXT	Synthesis imaging	15-93 keV (4 channels)
SXT	Direct imaging	$\sim 3\text{-}50\text{\AA}$ (5 filters)
BCS	X-ray line spectroscopy	Sxv, Caxix, Fexxv, Fexxvi
WBS	Broad-band spectroscopy	~ 3 keV–20 MeV

^aŠvestka and Uchida, 1991

2.2 SOHO and TRACE; other facilities

The Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO), launched in 1995, carries instruments not optimized for flare research, but which have produced copious new results on flares; TRACE (the Transition Region and Coronal Explorer), launched in 1998 (Handy et al., 1999) remedied the lack of time resolution for UV and EUV observations and has produced extensive flare observations at high resolution. Data from RHESSI (the Reuven Ramaty High-Energy Spectroscopic Imager; see Lin et al., 2002), greatly extend our knowledge of hard X- and γ -radiation. Unfortunately RHESSI, launched in 2002, does not overlap with the *Yohkoh* observations. The other facilities contributing greatly to our understanding

during the *Yohkoh* era have been for the most part ground-based observatories, including the radioheliographs at Nançay, Nobeyama, Owens Valley, and the VLA (Very Large Array).

3. The Flare Concept

A general definition of “solar flare” was given in the Introduction. In this section we discuss the current state of knowledge of the geometry and physics of a solar flare in order to introduce concepts and terminology. The following sections then discuss what we think are the most relevant outstanding issues related to future observations with FASR: magnetic loop structure, particle acceleration, ejection and magnetic-field restructuring, and microflares. In much of the discussion we make use of the language of the “standard model” of a flare (or a CME), namely that of large-scale magnetic reconnection. In the *Yohkoh* literature this is often referred to as the CSHKP model (Carmichael-Sturrock-Hirayama-Kopp-Pneuman). See Aschwanden (2002), and Priest and Forbes (2002) for modern descriptions.

3.1 Confined and LDE flares

The Skylab data led to a two-element classification of solar flares into *confined* and *eruptive* categories (Pallavicini et al., 1977), and this classification appeared to work well enough for the *Yohkoh* data as well (Section 6). The confined flares typically appear as small bright loops with little large-scale motion other than that attributed to evaporation flows along the loops; the eruptive flares tend to lead to long-decay events (LDEs; MacCombie and Rust, 1979) with an arcade of loops, and to be more strongly associated with coronal mass ejections (CMEs). In both cases one can have a full development of radiative signatures across the whole spectrum, in the extreme ranging from kilometer wavelengths to high-energy γ -radiation, plus the emission of energetic particles from the Sun.

As has been well-known from the classical $H\alpha$ observations of solar flares, even powerful eruptive events can sometimes occur in essentially quiet regions or in active regions so feeble as not to support sunspots. Such events were observed with Skylab in soft X-rays, forming the extreme end of the LDE population, and in the *Yohkoh* era we often refer to these as “global restructurings.” These events appear in soft X-ray images as giant arcades, sometimes extending more than one solar radius in length. They generally arise in filament channels, and the largest ones occur in the polar-crown filament regions. We identify them with

two-ribbon structures analogous to those of eruptive flares but outside active regions, as observed in the chromosphere (Harvey et al., 1986).

3.2 Flares and CMEs

The relationships between flares and CMEs have excited extensive discussion and some controversy. See Cliver and Hudson (2002) for recent impressions of this subject. Briefly speaking, flare physics is best known through radiation signatures, and CMEs through motions of coronal material seen with a coronagraph or other coronal imager. However the eruptive flares involve mass motions as well, and often the same structures (filaments) can be identified in both flares and CMEs. Flares occur more frequently than CMEs; most of the powerful flares (GOES X-class) have closely-associated CMEs with comparable total energy, although a few do not. Similarly a few of the most spectacular CMEs have negligible flare effects in the low corona, most famously the event of 7 January 1997 (Webb et al., 1998). The flare/CME association involves high-energy particle signatures well studied via coronal radio emission at metric and longer wavelengths (see Chapter 2) and by *in situ* observations in the heliosphere.

The flares/CME connection became controversial in the 1990s, when there were suggestions that CMEs directly caused flaring, leading to the confusing usage “post-eruption loops” as a synonym for “eruptive flare.” The *Yohkoh* era has seen much more detailed study of the relationship between flare and CME processes, to the extent that we now do not know which causes which, if either. It may depend upon the type of event, since the data clearly show more than one kind of CME. While unquestionably signatures of a coming eruption (e.g., the activation and slow rise of a filament) may precede the main flare effects, they now appear to go hand-in-hand with radiation effects (Hudson and Webb, 1997; Zarro et al., 1999). Zhang et al. (2002) have confirmed the close simultaneity of CME acceleration and flare brightening found originally from the *Yohkoh* observations of expanding loops and dimmings. We now recognize that CME acceleration may coincide well with the impulsive phase of its associated flare (Nitta and Akiyama, 1999; Zhang et al., 2002). But even with our superior new data it seems premature to decide on the direction of causality, and indeed the flare and CME processes may be too closely intertwined physically to make this a meaningful exercise (Hudson and Cliver, 2001; Zhang et al., 2001).

4. Flare Loops

The corona consists, we believe, of a volume-filling magnetic field populated by hot plasma (the corona) in an intermittent manner. From the Skylab era we have known that there is no such thing as a smooth background corona, and that magnetic loops define structures everywhere within the coronal volume. When a flare occurs, soft X-ray observations typically show the sudden formation of bright closed loops; this brightening results from the expansion of new hot plasma from below into already well-defined coronal structures (Figure 7.1). The footpoints of the flare loops first become bright across a wide spectral range, and then the whole loop appears in high-temperature observations. The cooling post-flare loops fade gradually with time as the gas pressure decreases and the excess mass eventually drains back out of the corona. In this gradual or decay phase of a flare there is a definite temporal relationship between density and temperature, as discovered in numerical simulations by Serio et al., 1991: $n_e^2 \propto T_e$ (Takahashi et al., 1996), where n_e, T_e are the electron density and temperature respectively.




Figure 7.1. Soft and hard X-ray observations of the “Masuda flare”, 13 January 1992, which nicely illustrates the coronal loop structure of a flare. Background image: soft X-rays (13 January 1992; reversed color table) from *Yohkoh* SXT. Contours: left, 15–23 keV; right, 23–33 keV from *Yohkoh* HXT. The contour above the soft X-ray loop shows the location of the Masuda source, and the contours at the ends of the soft X-ray loop show the hard X-ray footpoints. Although the contours tend to obscure them in this representation, the footpoints are also bright in soft X-rays.

A flare observed in soft X-rays consists mainly of one or more magnetic loops; in every event these include almost stationary loops that appear (footpoints first) and then fade with time. In the LDEs (Long Decay

Events) this gradual phase (fading) may be protracted to the extent that energy input must continue, since the observed cooling time exceeds that expected theoretically (MacCombie and Rust, 1979; van Driel-Gesztelyi et al., 1997) over the lifetime of the loops. New loops must be appearing successively in the gradual phase, giving the (illusory) appearance of slow loop growth. This requirement helped to drive the development of the large-scale reconnection models, in which field lines opened during the flare process would then close, releasing magnetic energy to power the late phase of the flare.

In eruptive events some loops are violently ejected during the impulsive phase (Canfield et al., 1992; de Jager et al., 1984); this topic is deferred until Section 6.

4.1 Footpoints, coronal spectroscopy, and evaporation

The footpoints of the flare loops often brighten impulsively (Figure 7.1). While this was known from earlier observations (Hoyng et al., 1981), the HXT data established the presence of this process for flares of GOES class C or above, and made possible interesting time-resolved studies (Sakao et al., 1998; Masuda et al., 2001). Related impulsive brightenings take place all across the spectrum, including white light (visible continuum); the SXT observations clearly establish relationship of white-light flares with the impulsive phase (Hudson et al., 1992) and also show impulsive emission in soft X-rays as well (McTiernan et al., 1993; Hudson et al., 1994).

The footpoints show where chromospheric material is being heated, ionized, and channeled into the corona by the magnetic field (Neupert, 1968). This phenomenon, misnamed “chromospheric evaporation,” can be studied via EUV line shifts (e.g., Acton et al., 1982; Bentley et al., 1994). Its occurrence during the impulsive phase suggests a direct relationship with particle precipitation into the chromospheric footpoints.

The Bent Crystal Spectrometer (BCS) on board *Yohkoh* provided high-resolution X-ray spectroscopy of solar-flare plasmas (Culhane et al., 1991) and systematic observation of impulsive-phase blueshifts (Mariska et al., 1993). *Yohkoh* lacked imaging spectroscopy as such, but SOHO instruments overcame this problem to a certain extent. Unfortunately they were not optimized for rapid time variability, and the SOHO spectroscopic instruments tended to avoid flare observations. However the evaporation flow has now also been imaged spectroscopically via SOHO (Czaykowska et al., 1999) even though a direct association with particle

precipitation remains problematic (Czaykowska et al., 2001), at least in the late phase of an LDE.

The soft X-ray emission lines in flares typically show “non-thermal broadening”; the line widths exceed those expected from the thermal motions of the emitting ions. Determining the physical location of this signature (loop top or footpoints?) clearly would help us to understand flare evolution; if the non-thermal broadening results from small-scale turbulence, this might be identified with the site of the energy conversion. Without spatial resolution, *Yohkoh* efforts to localize the non-thermal broadening made use of limb occultation. Khan et al. (1995) studied a sequence of nearly homologous flares that were successively occulted by the limb, and found no substantial difference in non-thermal broadening. On the other hand Mariska et al. (1996) studied a different (but still small) sample of events, finding a tendency for the non-thermal broadening to be greater in the footpoints of the flare loops. Similarly the interpretation of the time-series development of non-thermal broadening (Alexander et al., 1998) is ambiguous. Alexander et al. (1998) and Harra et al. (2001) argue that the non-thermal broadening may appear *prior to* the impulsive hard X-rays, thus suggesting an early turbulent phase of energy release; Mariska and McTiernan (1999) and Ranns et al. (2001), on the other hand, find a closer relationship between the two signatures. Without spatially-resolved X-ray spectroscopy it will be difficult to make further progress.

4.2 Arcades

In many flares an elongated arcade of bright loops develops, perhaps never more spectacularly than in the “Bastille Day flare” of 2000 (Figure 7.2). These constitute one of the two categories of flare noted by Pallavicini et al., 1977, namely the compact loop flares and the eruptive flares, and this categorization appears to have a counterpart in the morphology of solar energetic particles (SEPs) observed in the heliosphere (e.g., Reames, 1999). The loop and footpoint behavior of these two types of flare do not obviously differentiate into any kind of bimodal distribution, since a compact flare loop has two footpoints that could be taken as equivalent to short ribbons. Bimodal behavior is seen more strongly in the SEP events – “impulsive” and “gradual” SEP events do occur, with the former associated more strongly with impulsive flares and the latter with CMEs (Reames, 1999).

The arcade morphology extends beyond the eruptive flares and into the domain of filament eruptions (“spotless flares”) from the quiet corona (Harvey et al., 1986). More properly these might be called “quiescent

filament-channel eruptions,” since the role of the filament itself in the flare dynamics remains unclear (note though that Low, 2001, emphasizes the importance of the filament mass as an anchor for a flux rope that otherwise might rise via buoyancy). See Engvold (1994) Engvold, 1994, for a description of filament channels. *Yohkoh*, EIT (the EUV Imaging Telescope on SOHO), and TRACE) have observed many such arcade events, which may appear in GOES non-imaging X-ray data as long-decay events (LDEs) – or they may not; they are cooler and fainter than active-region events and frequently cannot be detected in whole-Sun X-ray data above the background, even if their X-ray images are striking. We suggest that similar physics, including the non-thermal aspects (e.g., Dennis and Zarro, 1993), extends through this category of flare as well as through the active-region events.




Figure 7.2. Yohkoh SXT difference image (left) and TRACE image (right) of the arcade flare of 14 July 2000 (“Bastille Day flare”), not to scale. The SXT difference images shows (as black) the pre-flare sigmoid, and (as white) the flare arcade. The TRACE image shows the full development of the large arcade, at lower temperatures.

The SXT observations of arcades revealed something not obvious in the EUV images: cusp-shaped structures, as shown in Figure 7.3. Because these resemble the general geometry of large-scale reconnection (and also the geometry of coronal streamers in particular) this observation immediately supported flare models involving magnetic reconnection in field lines that had been blown open by the flare. Further evidence came from (i) the temperature structure observed by SXT in certain flares which suggested the pattern of slow shocks in the Petschek regime (Tsuneta, 1996a; Tsuneta, 1996b), and (ii) the presence of shrinkage within the cusp described by Hiei et al. (1997) – it is this ‘dipolarization’ of newly closed loops that actually converts the stored magnetic energy into kinetic energy (Švestka et al., 1987; Forbes and Acton, 1996).




Figure 7.3. A beautiful cusp (following an X-class flare of 7 June 2000), as observed by SXT. This image shows the northern hemisphere of the Sun, and the scale can be judged from the limb.

In the latter half of the *Yohkoh* observations, as a result of improvements in the observing program, observations of a velocity fields around the arcade began to be noticed, as expected from the dimming signatures (Section 6.2). Yokoyama et al. (2001) for example, reported *inward* flows in a cusp geometry towards the apparent reconnection point. This observation made use of both EIT and SXT data, showing the temperature structure clearly, and an estimate of the inflow speed put it at on the order of 10^{-3} times the Alfvén speed.

While an inflow consistent with coronal reconnection has been reported only for a single event (Yokoyama et al., 2001), outflows (downward, towards the arcade) possibly consistent with the standard reconnection model have been detected many times by *Yohkoh* (McKenzie, 2000) and now by TRACE as well (Gallagher et al., 2002). These flows are known as a “Supra-Arcade Downflows” or SAD events. The first observations (McKenzie and Hudson, 1999) showed dark intrusions streaming down in between a spiky structure extending above the arcade (Švestka et al., 1998); such spikes form in a fraction of the arcade events and appear to map to individual loops of the arcade below. The downward velocities are much smaller than the inferred Alfvén speed, and usually smaller than the free-fall speed as well (McKenzie, 2000). This velocity field should be distinguished from that of the well-known “coronal rain,” which occurs in the legs of an arcade as it cools. The logical interpretation of the SADs would be in terms of reconnection outflow jets, but several aspects of the observations remain puzzling (Why a spiky arcade? Why sub-Alfvénic downflow speeds? Why no SADs in the impulsive phase, nor in the majority of arcade events?)

4.2.1 “Sigmoids” and filament cavities. The association of S-shaped coronal soft X-ray features with eruptivity is a well-




Figure 7.4. Soft X-ray observations of a spiky arcade event. The spikes extend above the NE limb to heights on the order of one solar radius; such events exhibit the “SAD” phenomenon described in the text.

established, if not one-to-one, relationship (Sterling and Hudson, 1997; Canfield et al., 1999; Gibson et al., 2002). Figure 7.2 shows the disappearance of a sigmoid during the eruption of the Bastille Day 2000 flare. This “sigmoid-to-arcade” development is a characteristic pattern for such events (Sterling et al., 2000). We interpret the sigmoid structure as a magnetic twist associated with a horizontal electric current system associated with a sheared photospheric magnetic field. Hagyard et al., 1984, had already found such regions to have enhanced flare probability. The sigmoid features probably consist of elongated flux ropes analogous to filament cavities (e.g., Engvold, 1994), which often appear as stable features of the quiet corona and which may have enormous spatial scales.

4.3 Loop-loop interactions

The SXT images of flares typically show multiple loops to be involved. In many cases *three* footpoints appear, showing two loops possibly interacting within one of them. This morphology was known from the Solar Maximum Mission (Machado et al., 1988) and from VLA observations, but was greatly extended with the *Yohkoh* and Nobeyama observations (Hanaoka, 1997; Nishio et al., 1997). In such cases flaring in a primary compact loop sometimes appears to trigger a response in a larger-scale loop, and the configuration is often referred to as a “loop-loop interaction.” Such a geometry could also explain flares with apparently over-bright footpoints (e.g., Fárník et al., 1997), by hypothesizing that such a footpoint actually would consist of an unresolved bipolar loop structure. Although an analysis of loop-loop behavior can be made by assuming

that the loops are discrete entities (Melrose, 1997), the common assumption is that the coronal magnetic field fills the entire volume, so that bright loops (in the low- β limit) may not really be distinct structures. It should be noted that cases of independent loop brightenings in flares also occur, with no apparent physical contact between the loops.

5. Particle Acceleration

Non-thermal particles play a fundamental role in solar flares and in CMEs. We can detect them directly in the heliosphere or remotely via their radiation signatures in various wavelength ranges. Hard X-rays from solar flares show the presence of energetic (semi-relativistic) electrons, accelerated by an as yet unidentified acceleration mechanism that operates in the impulsive phase of the flare. The significance of these observations follows from the large energy inferred to be present in the non-thermal electrons of the impulsive phase (Kane and Donnelly, 1971).

Imaging observations in the hard X-ray range (>10 keV) only began with the SMM and *Hinotori* spacecraft in the 1980s, and then only over a limited energy range. This imaging showed that fairly short (10^9 cm scale) magnetic loops could be the site of energy release even for some of the most powerful flares; these loops revealed their presence by double footpoint sources (Hoyng et al., 1981). In the footpoint region of a flaring loop, virtually every observable wavelength may show an impulsive emission component – cm-wave radio, white light, EUV, and soft X-rays as well as hard X-rays. Thus the phenomenon occurring in these regions must be highly non-thermal, consistent with the precipitation of the impulsive-phase energetic electrons from the corona in the form of directed beams.

5.1 Footpoint sources

The hard X-ray imager HXT on *Yohkoh* has greatly expanded our knowledge of the non-thermal particle populations in solar flares. In particular the images showed two footpoints in the majority of the many flares observed (Sakao, 1994), as illustrated in Figure 7.1. In other cases HXT only showed a single brightening, which could be interpreted as unresolved footpoints; in other cases more than two footpoints appeared. In most cases the soft X-ray images from SXT showed coronal loop structures connecting pairs of footpoints.

Sakao (1994) noted a tendency towards footpoint asymmetry, in the sense that the brighter footpoint of a conjugate pair tended to have the weaker photospheric magnetic field as inferred from a magnetogram.

This would be consistent with the magnetic mirror force restricting the electron propagation. More interestingly still, Sakao et al. (1998) found that the footpoints moved during the flare development, but not always in the direction (greater separation) expected from the standard reconnection model. This has opened an active field of research, in which the footpoint motions are interpreted in terms of their coronal connectivity (Somov and Kosugi, 1997; Fletcher and Hudson, 2001; Saba et al., 2001; Qiu et al., 2002; Somov et al., 2002). The observations in principle help in understanding not only the geometry of the magnetic restructuring causing the flare, but also its energetics since the non-thermal electrons carry such a large fraction of the total flare energy.

A ubiquitous “soft-hard-soft” pattern of spectral evolution (Parks and Winckler, 1969; Fletcher and Hudson, 2002) appears in the hard X-ray footpoint sources. There exists a theoretical description (Benz, 1977; Brown and Loran, 1985) based upon stochastic acceleration. Theories of impulsive-phase particle acceleration involving shock waves (Tsuneta and Naito, 1998) or acceleration in the reconnection region (Litvinenko, 2000) need to be shown consistent with this soft-hard-soft pattern.

5.2 Coronal sources

The “Masuda flare” phenomenon (Masuda et al., 1995) has had a substantial impact on our thinking about the physical mechanisms at work in solar flares. Briefly this refers to the presence of a hard X-ray source in the corona *above* the soft X-ray loops, visible during the impulsive phase of a flare as illustrated in Figure 7.1. The only feasible explanation for this phenomenon appears to be the presence of a sufficient target density in the emitting region (Fletcher, 1995; Wheatland and Melrose, 1995; Conway et al., 1998), in order that the inefficient thin-target bremsstrahlung process would be detectable. The need for high density can be mitigated by trapping (Fletcher and Martens, 1998), but this depends upon the unknown field geometry as well as on the acceleration mechanism. The standard reconnection models envision low-field regions (actually nulls in 2D models) which could serve as particle traps. The Masuda source occurs during the impulsive phase of a flare but appears to be unusual, in that surveys (Petrosian et al., 2002; Aschwanden, 2002) only revealed a handful of such Masuda events among *Yohkoh*’s many flares. The prototype flare of January 13, 1992 (Figure 7.1) appears to have had a high trapping efficiency (Aschwanden et al., 1999), which could be consistent with the time scale needed for the evaporation of sufficient material to form a dense bremsstrahlung target. Metcalf and Alexander (1999) have carried out a detailed analysis of the target den-

sity requirement. The absence of a soft X-ray source at the “above the loop top” location is difficult to explain in the case of the Masuda flare.

Upon its discovery the Masuda “above-the-loop-top” source was immediately interpreted in terms of the standard reconnection model involving a fast-mode MHD shock terminating the outflow (Masuda et al., 1995). The hard X-ray source could arise in particle acceleration either at the shock itself via the Fermi mechanism, with trapping by the paired slow-mode shock structures present in the standard (2D) reconnection model (Tsuneta and Naito, 1998). This attractive idea has the added advantage that the particle acceleration takes place not at the point of reconnection, which may have a low density (the “number problem”; see Brown and Melrose, 1977), but in a closed loop structure that may already contain electrons or else gain additional electrons via the mechanism of chromospheric evaporation driven by the overall process. A stochastic acceleration model in a similar geometry (Larosa et al., 1996; Jakimiec et al., 1998) could also be consistent with the presence of energy conversion above the loop top.

In addition to the Masuda sources, closely related to the impulsive phase and to the flare loops themselves, there are other coronal hard X-ray sources more closely associated with eruption and CME development (Cliver et al., 1986 and references therein). To observe coronal hard X-ray sources with good sensitivity, it is best to study flares for which the bright footpoint sources are occulted (e.g., Tomczak, 2001). A recent *Yohkoh* example has been discussed by Sato (2001) who found good evidence for the trapping of non-thermal electrons in coronal loops. Hudson et al. (2001) also found a moving source from an over-the-limb flare on 18 April 2001; here the non-thermal electrons were also present in the flare ejecta. The hard X-ray source emerged from behind the limb in the form of a compact structure identifiable with a microwave source, and moved outwards at $\sim 10^3$ km s $^{-1}$. The soft X-ray ejection studied by Khan et al. (2002) contained a pulsating decimetric source, also implying the existence of non-thermal electrons trapped in or threading an expanding flux rope.

5.3 Energetic ions

The energetic ion component (>1 MeV) of a solar flare, as revealed by its γ -ray line emission spectrum, may contain energy rivaling that of the impulsive-phase electrons (Ramaty et al., 1995) and therefore of the entire flare process. *Yohkoh* confirmed the existence of two types of solar γ -ray bursts (Yoshimori et al., 1999), namely the normal events and the

so-called “electron-rich” events. We expect substantial progress in γ -ray line spectroscopy from RHESSI (see Lin et al., 2002).

6. Mass motions

Flares originally were called “eruptions” by Hale, and we know now that this was apt terminology: an explosive restructuring of the coronal magnetic field often plays a key role in the physical development of a flare. Almost invariably, for the most powerful flares, this involves loop ejections, global wave generation, and the occurrence of a coronal mass ejection (CME). De la Beaujardiere et al. (1995) and Green et al. (2002) have shown, however, that even major flares sometimes consist of “confined eruptions” that have no significant counterparts in the upper corona.

6.1 Parallel and perpendicular flows

Movie representations of the images often show motions both perpendicular and parallel to the inferred field direction. *Yohkoh* observations in particular immediately revealed parallel flows in the form of X-ray jets, previously unknown, often with apparent velocities on the order of 10^3 km s⁻¹ (Shibata et al., 1992; Strong et al., 1992). These jets are highly-collimated plasma flows emerging from the vicinity of flaring loops (microflares; see Section 7), often found in the leading-polarity region of a sunspot group (Shimojo et al., 1998). They also apparently mark the locations of channels for certain type III radio bursts (Aurass et al., 1994; Kundu et al., 1995) and would presumably be related to H α sprays.

The perpendicular motions (restructurings) occur in strong association with CMEs (Nitta and Akiyama, 1999), but also at lower speeds in expanding active regions (Uchida et al., 1992). Flares, especially major ones, frequently exhibit high-speed ejections (Hudson et al., 1996; Ohyama and Shibata, 1998; Innes et al., 2001). It is worth emphasizing that *non-radial* motions may characterize the early development of a flare ejection; this is often the appearance from *Yohkoh* images, although one cannot be sure because of the geometrical projection effects, but it can be demonstrated with full 3D reconstructions using high-resolution spectroscopic imaging (e.g., Penn, 2000).

6.2 Dimming

Dimming at soft X-ray wavelengths is one signature of the occurrence of a coronal mass ejection, analogous to the “coronal depletion” seen in white light (Hansen et al., 1974; Rust, 1983). Because they have broad

temperature responses, both the white-light and X-ray decreases suggest a simple explanation: the dimmed material has been released to expand into the solar wind. Different types of dimming (Hudson and Webb, 1997) exist; in all there is a close temporal match with flare brightening (Table 7.2) in temporal development.

Table 7.2. Soft X-ray coronal dimming

<i>Type</i>	Prototype	<i>Yohkoh</i> Reference
Transient coronal hole	September 28, 1991	Watanabe et al., 1992
Diffuse	February 21, 1992	Hudson et al., 1995
Loop expansion	November 13, 1994	Nitta and Akiyama, 1999
Disappearing TIL ^a	May 6, 1998	Khan and Hudson, 2000

^aTransequatorial interconnecting loop system

From the original *Yohkoh* observations it was clear that the dimming time scale was inconsistent with cooling time scales, and hence must involve expansion of the field (Rust and Hildner, 1976, Hudson et al., 1996); in some cases this expansion appears to be arrested (de La Beaujardiere et al., 1995, Green et al., 2002), but normally it involves the opening of active-region magnetic field lines into the interplanetary medium as a part of a CME. Spectroscopic observations of dimming in the EUV provide a more explicit view of the process (Harrison and Lyons, 2000). The SXT data show unambiguously that the temporal pattern of the dimming reflects that of the flare brightening, a result significant for discussions of flare/CME relationships (Section 3.2).

The trans-equatorial interconnecting loops (TILs) link active regions, or their near vicinities, across the solar equator (e.g., Švestka et al., 1977, Pevtsov, 2000). These TILs tend to have greater visibility in soft X-rays than in the lower-temperature EUV observations from EIT (as do the sigmoids; see Section 4). This points to the existence of a heating mechanism that may differ from that responsible for bright loops in active regions, which have small spatial scales, short time scales, and originate in strong-field regions. Note that filament channels, which also contain long field lines, tend to be cool and dark in soft X-rays. Khan and Hudson, 2000, found that such a loop structure may suddenly disappear (Figure 7.5), leading to a CME. Their event of 6 May 1998 (see also Section 6.3) was the first of a set of three nearly homologous disappearances. The timing suggested a disruption of the TIL by the flare blast wave, and the TIL morphology closely agreed with the initial appearance of the CME as viewed by the LASCO coronagraphs.




Figure 7.5. Disappearing trans-equatorial interconnecting loop (TIL) associated with the blast wave and CME of the flare of May 6, 1998 (Khan and Hudson, 2000). The left two panels show times before and after the flare; the right panel shows the difference.

6.3 Global waves

Prior to the 1990s, we knew of the existence of global coronal shock waves (analogous to supernova shocks) via their Moreton wave and meter-wave type II radio signatures. The obvious prediction for *Yohkoh* was there: SXT could observe the solar corona directly in its soft X-ray emission, and therefore the weak fast-mode MHD shock responsible for a type II burst (see Uchida, 1968), because it was compressive, should produce a bright ripple visible in a flare movie sequence.

In fact it required almost a decade before such sources were clearly identified (Khan and Aurass, 2002; Narukage et al., 2002; Hudson et al., 2002). The reasons for this delay are complex, but in the meantime the EIT instrument on SOHO had made clear detections of related coronal waves (Moses et al., 1997, Thompson et al., 1998). Although considerable debate has accompanied the development of consensus on this point (e.g., Cliver and Hudson, 2002), we now feel sure that two types of large-scale waves occur. The immediate blast wave begins in the magnetic-restructuring disturbance at the onset of the flare impulsive phase; as it moves outward it develops into a fast-mode MHD shock wave and “ignites” as a type II burst at metric wavelengths (e.g., Vršnak, 2001). At the same time the CME, if one occurs, moves outward and drives an interplanetary shock ahead of it. This wave, unlike the blast wave, can continue as long as the CME propagates supersonically; when it arrives at the Earth it makes a clear signature in the geomagnetic field (the “storm sudden commencement” or SSC).

The observations of the 6 May 1998 wave event in soft X-rays (Figure 7.6) allowed us to study its development within 10^9 cm of the flare core (Hudson et al., 2002). In fact, the wave did not appear to originate at the core loops of the flare, but rather from a radiant point significantly displaced from it. This tends to rule out a “pressure pulse” explanation for the wave formation, and instead points to the field restructuring itself as the direct cause – not an implausible situation in what is believed to be plasma at low β , where gas pressure itself should have a negligible effect.




Figure 7.6. Soft X-ray signature of 6 May 1998 wave. The image shows a difference image at a 40 s spacing from the SXT AlMg filter with $10''$ pixels, at a time several minutes after the initiation time of the event as determined by its radiant (Hudson et al., 2002). The neutral gray area shows regions of CCD saturation.

The “EIT wave” phenomenon (Thompson et al., 1998) actually now appears to comprise both blast waves and restructurings (Delannée, 2000). The fastest of the waves have a strong correlation with flares and type II bursts and thus agree with Uchida’s unifying theory of type II burst excitors and Moreton waves (Biesecker et al., 2002).

7. Microflares and Nanoflares

“Microflares,” in the sense of flare-like events with total energies on the order of 10^{26} ergs, were already evident in the GOES data: a B1 event has roughly 10^{-5} the energy of a GOES X10 event. Theoretical insight (Parker, 1988) and hard X-ray observations (Lin et al., 1984) suggested that tiny non-thermal events might play a major energetic role in active regions or even the entire corona. But to do so required “nanoflares,” even tinier events whose numbers and frequency might merge into the appearance of a continuous heating of the coronal plasma.

Hudson (1991) pointed out that the microflare observations (from various sources) in fact showed distributions of total flare energy W for which $N(W) \sim W^{-\alpha}$, with $\alpha < 2$. The energy in such distributions is dominated by large events, not small ones, and so the nanoflare phenomenon needed to be found in events not strictly resembling the flares and microflares. The SXT observations provided the first good imaging X-ray data for this purpose with adequate temporal sampling.

The *Yohkoh* soft X-ray imaging immediately revealed the locations of the smallest GOES events, which for the most part turned out to be flare-like brightenings in active regions (Shimizu et al., 1992). These then were the soft X-ray counterparts of the hard X-ray microflares originally observed by Lin et al. (1984). Detailed studies in soft X-rays (Shimizu et al., 1994) and at other wavelengths (White et al., 1995; Gary et al., 1997; Shimizu et al., 2002) have subsequently provided little evidence to suggest the presence of any substantially different physics represented; hence “microflare” seems a reasonable name for a flare-like event on such a scale. The microflares occur in a power-law distribution against total energy in a manner consistent with flare observations (e.g., Hudson, 1991); as shown in Figure 7.7 they span the energy range down to about 10^{27} ergs before a roll-over attributable to selection effects.




Figure 7.7. Energy distributions for microflares observed with the *Yohkoh* SXT (Shimizu, 1995), incorporating plausible physical models to scale the total energy (necessary because soft X-rays only contain a small portion, roughly 1/15, of the total event energy). The flattening of the distribution for small event energies represents detection threshold.

Could microflares then explain coronal heating? Despite these results controversy continued to simmer, as EUV observations of microflares and of still smaller “micro-events” in the quiet Sun (e.g., Berghmans

et al., 1998; Parnell and Jupp, 2000; Aschwanden and Charbonneau, 2002; Benz and Krucker, 2002) became available. Partly this may have stemmed from the more complex morphologies of the EUV observations but the ambiguities of the modeling needed to interpret the observations physically also seems to have contributed to the continuing discussion. Can the microflares themselves be taken as a signature of coronal heating? The flatness of the distribution function suggests not (Hudson, 1991), but the conversion from any observable signature to the total energy of an event requires extensive model-dependent adjustments and we may not know how to do it correctly. To answer this question one must deal correctly with sampling bias – the equivalent of the cosmologist’s “Malmquist bias” (Aschwanden and Charbonneau, 2002). Our current knowledge of the energies and distributions of flare-like events, at present, suggests that they have little to do with the heating of the general corona.

8. Evolution of Flare Theories and Models

Theories of eruptive flares and CMEs, often indistinguishable in their essence, have generally followed the line of the standard large-scale reconnection (CSHKP) model. This involves either an ideal MHD catastrophe or a dissipative process that opens the magnetic field of an active region, allowing it to re-form with energy release into the cusped arcade structure made familiar with *Yohkoh* images (Priest and Forbes, 2002). Theoretical treatments of these phenomena based upon MHD will always have trouble with self-consistency, however, because of the strong effects of particle acceleration.

Recent work has emphasized the 3D nature of the phenomena: the topology of the coronal magnetic-field connectivity in terms of separatrices or “quasi-separatrix structures” (Bratenahl and Baum, 1976; Demoulin et al., 1996) that separate the domains. Other interesting new developments have considered the role of statistical sub-processes working in a self-organizing manner (Lu and Hamilton, 1991; Vlahos et al., 1995), and the physics of magnetic helicity (Rust and Kumar, 1996, Pevtsov et al., 1996).

The occurrence of major flares without CMEs or other effects in the middle corona, as discussed in Section 6.2, underscores the need to go beyond the simple 2D CSHKP-type model. There is now convincing evidence, though, especially in the late phases of eruptive flares, for the large-scale reconnection picture presented by the simple CSHKP models. Current thinking distinguishes between eruptions occurring from “tether-cutting” reconnection (Moore and Labonte, 1980) which can

occur in an essentially bipolar magnetic configuration, and eruptions requiring more complex connectivity (e.g., the “breakout” model of Antiochos, 1998). One apparent problem of all such magnetic models is the need to circumvent the Aly conjecture, which asserts that the open configuration of the field has greater energy than the closed configuration. Opening the field therefore would absorb energy, rather than releasing it as observed during a flare. How to avoid this problem remains unclear, but the problem may not exist for partial eruptions of the field (Sturrock et al., 2001), or in fact the conjecture itself may simply be wrong (e.g., Choe and Cheng, 2002).

The idea that magnetic energy stored slowly in the corona can be released suddenly to power a flare or CME has been almost unanimously accepted. Unfortunately we have only sketchy knowledge of the coronal magnetic field because of the extreme difficulty of direct observations and because extrapolation from the photospheric magnetograms has fundamental uncertainties. Thus it has recently become interesting to make use of the flare observations to define both the connectivity and also infer something about the site of energy storage and release. In particular Aschwanden (2002) has developed a comprehensive view of flare structure including the use of accelerated particles both as tracers in the lower atmosphere and also as time-of-flight guides to the middle corona where energy may be stored.

9. Conclusions

This review has briefly dealt with the *Yohkoh* and related observations of solar flares. These observations have given us some important new things to think about, but they have also yielded many refinements of things already partially understood. With RHESSI now extending our knowledge in the key area of non-thermal particle behavior, the epoch beginning in 1991 will no doubt be recognized as the most important yet for our understanding of flare physics. From the theoretical point of view, we are now beginning to study the 3D geometry of the flare catastrophe, and it is very interesting – FASR will help greatly on this score, because of its capability to make direct coronal magnetic-field measure (see Chapter 12). This suggests the possible development of coronal loop seismology (see Roberts, 2000 and references therein) leading to inference of fundamental coronal properties such as the magnetic field intensity (e.g., Nakariakov and Ofman, 2001).

Acknowledgments

NASA supported the work of HSH under NAS 5-98033, and he thanks the Astronomy and Astrophysics Group of the University of Glasgow for hospitality during the writing of this Chapter.

References

- Acton, L. W., Leibacher, J. W., Canfield, R. C., Gunkler, T. A., Hudson, H. S., and Kiplinger, A. L. (1982). Chromospheric evaporation in a well-observed compact flare. *ApJ*, 263:409–422.
- Alexander, D., Harra-Murnion, L. K., Khan, J. I., and Matthews, S. A. (1998). Relative Timing of Soft X-Ray Nonthermal Line Broadening and Hard X-Ray Emission in Solar Flares. *ApJ*, 494:L235.
- Antiochos, S. K. (1998). The Magnetic Topology of Solar Eruptions. *ApJ*, 502:L181.
- Aschwanden, M. J. (2002). *Particle Acceleration and Kinematics in Solar Flares*. Particle Acceleration and Kinematics in Solar Flares, A Synthesis of Recent Observations and Theoretical Concepts, by Markus J. Aschwanden, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Aschwanden, M. J. and Charbonneau, P. (2002). Effects of Temperature Bias on Nanoflare Statistics. *ApJ*, 566:L59–L62.
- Aschwanden, M. J., Fletcher, L., Sakao, T., Kosugi, T., and Hudson, H. (1999). Deconvolution of Directly Precipitating and Trap-precipitating Electrons in Solar Flare Hard X-Rays. III. Yohkoh Hard X-Ray Telescope Data Analysis. *ApJ*, 517:977–989.
- Aurass, H., Klein, K.-L., and Martens, P. C. H. (1994). First detection of correlated electron beams and plasma jets in radio and soft x-ray data. *Solar Phys*, 155:203–206.
- Bentley, R. D., Doschek, G. A., Simnett, G. M., Rilee, M. L., Mariska, J. T., Culhane, J. L., Kosugi, T., and Watanabe, T. (1994). The correlation of solar flare hard X-ray bursts with Doppler blueshifted soft X-ray flare emission. *ApJ*, 421:L55–L58.
- Benz, A. O. (1977). Spectral features in solar hard X-ray and radio events and particle acceleration. *ApJ*, 211:270–280.
- Benz, A. O. and Krucker, S. (2002). Energy Distribution of Microevents in the Quiet Solar Corona. *ApJ*, 568:413–421.
- Berghmans, D., Clette, F., and Moses, D. (1998). Quiet Sun EUV transient brightenings and turbulence. A panoramic view by EIT on board SOHO. *A&A*, 336:1039–1055.
- Biesecker, D. A., Myers, D. C., Thompson, B. J., Hammer, D. M., and Vourlidas, A. (2002). Solar Phenomena Associated with “EIT Waves”. *ApJ*, 569:1009–1015.

- Bratenahl, A. and Baum, P. J. (1976). On flares, substorms, and the theory of impulsive flux transfer events. *Solar Phys*, 47:345–360.
- Brown, J. C. and Loran, J. M. (1985). Possible evidence for stochastic acceleration of electrons in solar hard X-ray bursts observed by SMM. *MNRAS*, 212:245–255.
- Brown, J. C. and Melrose, D. B. (1977). Collective plasma effects and the electron number problem in solar hard X-ray bursts. *Solar Phys*, 52:117–131.
- Canfield, R. C., Hudson, H. S., Leka, K. D., Mickey, D. L., Metcalf, T. R., Wuelser, J., Acton, L. W., Strong, K. T., Kosugi, T., Sakao, T., Tsuneta, S., Culhane, J. L., Phillips, A., and Fludra, A. (1992). The X flare of 1991 November 15 - Coordinated Mees/Yohkoh observations. *PASJ*, 44:L111–L115.
- Canfield, R. C., Hudson, H. S., and McKenzie, D. E. (1999). Sigmoidal morphology and eruptive solar activity. *GRL*, 26:627.
- Choe, G. S. and Cheng, C. Z. (2002). Energy of Force-free Magnetic Fields in Relation to Coronal Mass Ejections. *ApJ*, 574:L179–L182.
- Clicher, E. W., Dennis, B. R., Kiplinger, A. L., Kane, S. R., Neidig, D. F., Sheeley, N. R., and Koomen, M. J. (1986). Solar gradual hard X-ray bursts and associated phenomena. *ApJ*, 305:920–935.
- Clicher, E. W. and Hudson, H. S. (2002). CMEs: How do the puzzle pieces fit together? *Journal of Atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics*, 64:231–252.
- Conway, A. J., MacKinnon, A. L., Brown, J. C., and McArthur, G. (1998). Analytic description of collisionally evolving fast electrons, and solar loop-top hard X-ray sources. *A&A*, 331:1103–1107.
- Culhane, J. L., Bentley, R. D., Hiei, E., Watanabe, T., Doschek, G. A., Brown, C. M., Cruise, A. M., Lang, J., Ogawara, Y., and Uchida, Y. (1991). The Bragg Crystal Spectrometer for Solar-A. *Solar Phys*, 136:89–104.
- Czaykowska, A., Alexander, D., and De Pontieu, B. (2001). Chromospheric Heating in the Late Phase of Two-Ribbon Flares. *ApJ*, 552:849–857.
- Czaykowska, A., de Pontieu, B., Alexander, D., and Rank, G. (1999). Evidence for Chromospheric Evaporation in the Late Gradual Flare Phase from SOHO/CDS Observations. *ApJ*, 521:L75–L78.
- de Jager, C., Boelee, A., and Rust, D. M. (1984). Spatial development of X-ray emission during the impulsive phase of a solar flare. *Solar Phys*, 92:245–258.
- de La Beaujardiere, J.-F., Canfield, R. C., Hudson, H. S., Wulser, J.-P., Acton, L., Kosugi, T., and Masuda, S. (1995). The 1991 October 24 flare: A challenge for standard models. *ApJ*, 440:386–393.

- Delannée, C. (2000). Another View of the EIT Wave Phenomenon. *ApJ*, 545:512–523.
- Demoulin, P., Henoux, J. C., Priest, E. R., and Mandrini, C. H. (1996). Quasi-Separatrix layers in solar flares. I. Method. *A&A*, 308:643–655.
- Dennis, B. R. and Zarro, D. M. (1993). The Neupert effect - What can it tell us about the impulsive and gradual phases of solar flares? *Solar Phys*, 146:177–190.
- Engvold, O. (1994). Cold Matter in Filament Channels (Invited). In *IAU Colloq. 144: Solar Coronal Structures*, pages 297.
- Fárník, F., Hudson, H., and Watanabe, T. (1997). (Erratum) YOHKOH observations of flares with flat hard X-ray spectra. *A&A*, 324:433–433.
- Fletcher, L. (1995). On the generation of loop-top impulsive hard X-ray sources. *A&A*, 303:L9.
- Fletcher, L. and Hudson, H. (2001). The Magnetic Structure and Generation of EUV Flare Ribbons. *Solar Phys.*, 204:69–89.
- Fletcher, L. and Hudson, H. S. (2002). Spectral and spatial variations of flare hard X-ray footpoints. *Solar Phys.*, page to be published.
- Fletcher, L. and Martens, P. C. H. (1998). A Model for Hard X-Ray Emission from the Top of Flaring Loops. *ApJ*, 505:418–431.
- Forbes, T. G. and Acton, L. W. (1996). Reconnection and Field Line Shrinkage in Solar Flares. *ApJ*, 459:330.
- Gallagher, P. T., Dennis, B. R., Krucker, S., A., S. R., and Tolbert, A. K. (2002). RHESSI and TRACE observations of the 21 April 2002 X1.5 flare. *Solar Phys.*, 210:341–356
- Gary, D. E., Hartl, M. D., and Shimizu, T. (1997). Nonthermal Radio Emission from Solar Soft X-Ray Transient Brightenings. *ApJ*, 477:958.
- Gerassimenko, M., Golub, L., Kahler, S., and Petrasso, R. (1974). Dynamic Events in the X-Ray Corona (a Progress Report from the AS&E X-Ray Telescope on Skylab). In *IAU Symp. 57: Coronal Disturbances*, volume 57, pages 501–+.
- Gibson, S. E., Fletcher, L., Del Zanna, G., Pike, C. D., Mason, H. E., Mandrini, C. H., Démoulin, P., Gilbert, H., Burkepile, J., Holzer, T., Alexander, D., Liu, Y., Nitta, N., Qiu, J., Schmieder, B., and Thompson, B. J. (2002). The Structure and Evolution of a Sigmoidal Active Region. *ApJ*, 574:1021–1038.
- Green, L. M., Matthews, S. A., van Driel-Gesztelyi, L., Harra, L. K., and Culhane, J. L. (2002). Multi-wavelength observations of an X-class flare without a coronal mass ejection. *Solar Phys*, 205:325–339.
- Hagyard, M. J., Teuber, D., West, E. A., and Smith, J. B. (1984). A quantitative study relating observed shear in photospheric magnetic fields to repeated flaring. *Solar Phys*, 91:115–126.

- Hanaoka, Y. (1997). Double-Loop Configuration of Solar Flares. *Solar Phys*, 173:319–346.
- Handy, B. N., and 47 co-authors (1999). The transition region and coronal explorer. *Solar Phys.*, 187:229–260.
- Hansen, R. T., Garcia, C. J., Hansen, S. F., and Yasukawa, E. (1974). Abrupt Depletions of the Inner Corona. *PASP*, 86:500.
- Harvey, K. L., Sheeley, N. R., and Harvey, J. W. (1986). He I 10830 Å Observations of Two-Ribbon Flare-Like Events Associated with Filament Disappearances. *Solar-Terrestrial Predictions, Volume 2nd, Meudon, France, 18-22 June, 1984. P.A. Simon et al, p. 198-203*, 2:198–203.
- Hoyng, P., Duijveman, A., Machado, M. E., Rust, D. M., Švestka, Z., Boelee, A., de Jager, C., Frost, K. T., Lafleur, H., Simnett, G. M., van Beek, H. F., and Woodgate, B. E. (1981). Origin and Location of the Hard X-Ray Emission in a Two-Ribbon Flare. *ApJ*, 246:L155.
- Hudson, H. S. (1991). Solar flares, microflares, nanoflares, and coronal heating. *Solar Phys*, 133:357–369.
- Hudson, H. S., Acton, L. W., Alexander, D., Freeland, S. L., Lemen, J. R., and Harvey, K. L. (1995). Yohkoh/SXT soft x-ray observations of sudden mass loss from the solar corona. In *Solar Wind Conference*, pages 58.
- Hudson, H. S., Acton, L. W., and Freeland, S. L. (1996). A Long-Duration Solar Flare with Mass Ejection and Global Consequences. *ApJ*, 470:629.
- Hudson, H. S., Acton, L. W., Hirayama, T., and Uchida, Y. (1992). White-light flares observed by YOHKOH. *Publ. Astr. Soc. Japan*, 44:L77–LL81.
- Hudson, H. S. and Cliver, E. W. (2001). Observing coronal mass ejections without coronagraphs. *JGR*, 106:25199–25214.
- Hudson, H. S., Khan, J. I., Lemen, J. R., Nitta, N. V., and Uchida, Y. (2002). Soft X-ray observation of a large-scale coronal wave and its exciter. *Solar Phys.*, to be published.
- Hudson, H. S., Strong, K. T., Dennis, B. R., Zarro, D., Inza, M., Kosugi, T., and Sakao, T. (1994). Impulsive behavior in solar soft X-radiation. *ApJ*, 422:L25–L27.
- Hudson, H. S. and Webb, D. F. (1997). Soft X-ray Signatures of Coronal Ejections. *Geophysical Monographs*, 99:27.
- Innes, D. E., Curdt, W., Schwenn, R., Solanki, S., Stenborg, G., and McKenzie, D. E. (2001). Large Doppler Shifts in X-Ray Plasma: An Explosive Start to Coronal Mass Ejection. *ApJ*, 549:L249–L252.

- Jakimiec, J., Tomczak, M., Falewicz, R., Phillips, K. J. H., and Fludra, A. (1998). The bright loop-top kernels in YOHKOH X-ray flares. *A&A*, 334:1112–1122.
- Kane, S. R. and Donnelly, R. F. (1971). Impulsive Hard X-Ray and Ultraviolet Emission during Solar Flares. *ApJ*, 164:151.
- Khan, J. I. and Aurass, H. (2002). X-ray observations of a large-scale solar coronal shock wave. *A&A*, 383:1018–1031.
- Khan, J. I. and Hudson, H. S. (2000). Homologous sudden disappearances of transequatorial interconnecting loops in the solar corona. *GRL*, 27:1083.
- Kosugi, T., Masuda, S., Makishima, K., Ina, M., Murakami, T., Dotani, T., Ogawara, Y., Sakao, T., Kai, K., and Nakajima, H. (1991). The hard X-ray telescope (HXT) for the Solar-A mission. *Solar Phys.*, 136:17–36.
- Kundu, M. R., Raulin, J. P., Nitta, N., Hudson, H. S., Shimojo, M., Shibata, K., and Raoult, A. (1995). Detection of Nonthermal Radio Emission from Coronal X-Ray Jets. *ApJ*, 447:L135.
- Larosa, T. N., Moore, R. L., Miller, J. A., and Shore, S. N. (1996). New Promise for Electron Bulk Energization in Solar Flares: Preferential Fermi Acceleration of Electrons over Protons in Reconnection-driven Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence. *ApJ*, 467:454.
- Lin, R. P., Schwartz, R. A., Kane, S. R., Pelling, R. M., and Hurley, K. C. (1984). Solar hard X-ray microflares. *ApJ*, 283:421–425.
- Lin et al., R. P. and 65 co-authors (2002). The Reuven Ramaty High-Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (RHESSI), *Solar Phys.*, 210:3-32.
- Litvinenko, Y. E. (2000). Particle Acceleration in Reconnecting Current Sheets in Impulsive Electron-Rich Solar Flares. *Solar Phys.*, 194:327–343.
- Low, B. C. (2001). Coronal mass ejections, magnetic flux ropes, and solar magnetism. *JGR*, 106:25141–25164.
- Lu, E. T. and Hamilton, R. J. (1991). Avalanches and the distribution of solar flares. *ApJ*, 380:L89–L92.
- MacCombie, W. J. and Rust, D. M. (1979). Physical parameters in long-decay coronal enhancements. *Solar Phys.*, 61:69–88.
- Machado, M. E., Moore, R. L., Hernandez, A. M., Rovira, M. G., Haggard, M. J., and Smith, J. B. (1988). The observed characteristics of flare energy release. I - Magnetic structure at the energy release site. *ApJ*, 326:425–450.
- Mariska, J. T., Doschek, G. A., and Bentley, R. D. (1993). Flare Plasma Dynamics Observed with the YOHKOH Bragg Crystal Spectrometer. I. Properties of the CA XIX Resonance Line. *ApJ*, 419:418.

- Masuda, S., Kosugi, T., Hara, H., Sakao, T., Shibata, K., and Tsuneta, S. (1995). Hard X-Ray Sources and the Primary Energy-Release Site in Solar Flares. *PASJ*, 47:677–689.
- Masuda, S., Kosugi, T., and Hudson, H. S. (2001). A Hard X-ray Two-Ribbon Flare Observed with Yohkoh/HXT. *Solar Phys.*, 204:55–67.
- McKenzie, D. E. (2000). Supra-arcade Downflows in Long-Duration Solar Flare Events. *Solar Phys*, 195:381–399.
- McKenzie, D. E. and Hudson, H. S. (1999). X-Ray Observations of Motions and Structure above a Solar Flare Arcade. *ApJ*, 519:L93–L96.
- McTiernan, J. M., Kane, S. R., Loran, J. M., Lemen, J. R., Acton, L. W., Hara, H., Tsuneta, S., and Kosugi, T. (1993). Temperature and Density Structure of the 1991 November 2 Flare Observed by the YOHKOH Soft X-Ray Telescope and Hard X-Ray Telescope. *ApJ*, 416:L91.
- Melrose, D. B. (1997). A Solar Flare Model Based on Magnetic Reconnection between Current-carrying Loops. *ApJ*, 486:521.
- Moore, R. L. and Labonte, B. J. (1980). The filament eruption in the 3B flare of July 29, 1973 - Onset and magnetic field configuration. In *IAU Symposium*, volume 91, pages 207–210.
- Moses, D., and 47 co-authors (1997). EIT Observations of the Extreme Ultraviolet Sun. *Solar Phys*, 175:571–599.
- Nakariakov, V. M. and Ofman, L. (2001). Determination of the coronal magnetic field by coronal loop oscillations. *A&A*, 372:L53–L56.
- Narukage, N., Hudson, H. S., Morimoto, T., Akiyama, S., Kitai, R., Kurokawa, H., and Shibata, K. (2002). Simultaneous Observation of a Moreton Wave on 1997 November 3 in $H\alpha$ and Soft X-Rays. *ApJ*, 572:L109–L112.
- Neupert, W. M. (1968). Comparison of Solar X-Ray Line Emission with Microwave Emission during Flares. *ApJ*, 153:L59.
- Nishio, M., Yaji, K., Kosugi, T., Nakajima, H., and Sakurai, T. (1997). Magnetic Field Configuration in Impulsive Solar Flares Inferred from Coaligned Microwave/X-Ray Images. *ApJ*, 489:976.
- Nitta, N. and Akiyama, S. (1999). Relation between Flare-associated X-Ray Ejections and Coronal Mass Ejections. *ApJ*, 525:L57–L60.
- Ohyama, M. and Shibata, K. (1998). X-Ray Plasma Ejection Associated with an Impulsive Flare on 1992 October 5: Physical Conditions of X-Ray Plasma Ejection. *ApJ*, 499:934.
- Pallavicini, R., Serio, S., and Vaiana, G. S. (1977). A survey of soft X-ray limb flare images - The relation between their structure in the corona and other physical parameters. *ApJ*, 216:108–122.
- Parker, E. N. (1988). Nanoflares and the solar X-ray corona. *ApJ*, 330:474–479.

- Parks, G. K. and Winckler, J. R. (1969). Sixteen-Second Periodic Pulsations Observed in the Correlated Microwave and Energetic X-Ray Emission from a Solar Flare. *ApJ*, 155:L117.
- Parnell, C. E. and Jupp, P. E. (2000). Statistical Analysis of the Energy Distribution of Nanoflares in the Quiet Sun. *ApJ*, 529:554–569.
- Penn, M. J. (2000). An Erupting Active Region Filament: Three-Dimensional Trajectory and Hydrogen Column Density. *Solar Phys*, 197:313–335.
- Petrosian, V., Donaghy, T. Q., and McTiernan, J. M. (2002). Loop Top Hard X-Ray Emission in Solar Flares: Images and Statistics. *ApJ*, 569:459–473.
- Pevtsov, A. A. (2000). Transequatorial Loops in the Solar Corona. *ApJ*, 531:553–560.
- Pevtsov, A. A., Canfield, R. C., and Zirin, H. (1996). Reconnection and Helicity in a Solar Flare. *ApJ*, 473:533.
- Priest, E. R. and Forbes, T. G. (2002). The magnetic nature of solar flares. *Astronomy Astrophysics Revs.*, 10:313–377.
- Qiu, J., Lee, J., Gary, D. E., and Wang, H. (2002). Motion of Flare Footpoint Emission and Inferred Electric Field in Reconnecting Current Sheets. *ApJ*, 565:1335–1347.
- Ramaty, R., Mandzhavidze, N., Kozlovsky, B., and Murphy, R. J. (1995). Solar Atmospheric Abundances and Energy Content in Flare Accelerated Ions from Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy. *ApJ*, 455:L193.
- Reames, D. V. (1999). Particle acceleration at the Sun and in the heliosphere. *Space Science Reviews*, 90:413–491.
- Roberts, B. (2000). Waves and Oscillations in the Corona - (Invited Review). *Solar Phys*, 193:139–152.
- Rust, D. M. (1983). Coronal disturbances and their terrestrial effects /Tutorial Lecture/. *Space Science Reviews*, 34:21–36.
- Rust, D. M. and Hildner, E. (1976). Expansion of an X-ray coronal arch into the outer corona. *Solar Phys*, 48:381–387.
- Rust, D. M. and Kumar, A. (1996). Evidence for Helically Kinked Magnetic Flux Ropes in Solar Eruptions. *ApJ*, 464:L199.
- Saba, J. L. R., Gaeng, T., and Tarbell, T. D. (2001). A study of magnetic reconnection using simultaneous SOHO/MDI and TRACE data. In *Multi-Wavelength Observations of Coronal Structure and Dynamics – Yohkoh 10th Anniversary Meeting*.
- Sakao, T. (1994). Characteristics of solar flare hard X-ray sources as revealed with the Hard X-ray Telescope aboard the Yohkoh satellite. *Ph.D. Thesis*.
- Sakao, T., Kosugi, T., and Masuda, S. (1998). Energy Release and Particle Acceleration in Solar Flares with Respect to Flaring Magnetic

- Loops. In *ASSL Vol. 229: Observational Plasma Astrophysics : Five Years of YOHKOH and Beyond*, pages 273.
- Serio, S., Reale, F., Jakimiec, J., Sylwester, B., and Sylwester, J. (1991). Dynamics of flaring loops. I - Thermodynamic decay scaling laws. *A&A*, 241:197–202.
- Shibata, K., Ishido, Y., Acton, L. W., Strong, K. T., Hirayama, T., Uchida, Y., McAllister, A. H., Matsumoto, R., Tsuneta, S., Shimizu, T., Hara, H., Sakurai, T., Ichimoto, K., Nishino, Y., and Ogawara, Y. (1992). Observations of X-ray jets with the YOHKOH Soft X-ray Telescope. *PASJ*, 44:L173–L179.
- Shimizu, T. (1995). Energetics and Occurrence Rate of Active-Region Transient Brightenings and Implications for the Heating of the Active-Region Corona. *PASJ*, 47:251–263.
- Shimizu, T., Shine, R. A., Title, A. M., Tarbell, T. D., and Frank, Z. (2002). Photospheric Magnetic Activities Responsible for Soft X-Ray Pointlike Microflares. I. Identifications of Associated Photospheric/Chromospheric Activities. *ApJ*, 574:1074–1088.
- Shimizu, T., Tsuneta, S., Acton, L. W., Lemen, J. R., Ogawara, Y., and Uchida, Y. (1994). Morphology of active region transient brightenings with the YOHKOH Soft X-ray Telescope. *ApJ*, 422:906–911.
- Shimizu, T., Tsuneta, S., Acton, L. W., Lemen, J. R., and Uchida, Y. (1992). Transient brightenings in active regions observed by the Soft X-ray Telescope on YOHKOH. *PASJ*, 44:L147–L153.
- Shimojo, M., Shibata, K., and Harvey, K. L. (1998). Magnetic Field Properties of Solar X-Ray Jets. *Solar Phys*, 178:379–392.
- Somov, B. V. and Kosugi, T. (1997). Collisionless Reconnection and High-Energy Particle Acceleration in Solar Flares. *ApJ*, 485:859.
- Somov, B. V., Kosugi, T., Hudson, H. S., Sakao, T., and Masuda, S. (2002). Magnetic Reconnection Scenario of the Bastille Day 2000 Flare. *ApJ*, 579:863–873.
- Sterling, A. C. and Hudson, H. S. (1997). YOHKOH SXT Observations of X-Ray "Dimming" Associated with a Halo Coronal Mass Ejection. *ApJ*, 491:L55.
- Sterling, A. C., Hudson, H. S., Thompson, B. J., and Zarro, D. M. (2000). Yohkoh SXT and SOHO EIT Observations of Sigmoid-to-Arcade Evolution of Structures Associated with Halo Coronal Mass Ejections. *ApJ*, 532:628–647.
- Strong, K. T., Harvey, K., Hirayama, T., Nitta, N., Shimizu, T., and Tsuneta, S. (1992). Observations of the variability of coronal bright points by the Soft X-ray Telescope on YOHKOH. *PASJ*, 44:L161–L166.

- Sturrock, P. A., Weber, M., Wheatland, M. S., and Wolfson, R. (2001). Metastable Magnetic Configurations and Their Significance for Solar Eruptive Events. *ApJ*, 548:492–496.
- Švestka, Z., Krieger, A. S., Chase, R. C., and Howard, R. (1977). Transequatorial loops interconnecting McMath regions 12472 and 12474. *Solar Phys*, 52:69–90.
- Švestka, Z. and Uchida, Y. (1991). *The YOHKOH (Solar-A) Mission : a series of invited contributions*. Dordrecht ; Boston : Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991.
- Švestka, Z. F., Fontenla, J. M., Machado, M. E., Martin, S. F., and Neidig, D. F. (1987). Multi-thermal observations of newly formed loops in a dynamic flare. *Solar Phys*, 108:237–250.
- Takahashi, M., Watanabe, T., Sakai, J., Sakao, T., Kosugi, T., Sakurai, T., Enome, S., Hudson, H. S., Hashimoto, S., and Nitta, N. (1996). The Solar Flare of 1992 August 17 23:58 UT. *PASJ*, 48:857–863.
- Thompson, B. J., Plunkett, S. P., Gurman, J. B., Newmark, J. S., St. Cyr, O. C., and Michels, D. J. (1998). SOHO/EIT observations of an Earth-directed coronal mass ejection on May 12, 1997. *GRL*, 25:2465.
- Tomczak, M. (2001). The analysis of hard X-ray radiation of flares with occulted footpoints. *A&A*, 366:294–305.
- Tsuneta, S. (1996a). Structure and Dynamics of Magnetic Reconnection in a Solar Flare. *ApJ*, 456:840.
- Tsuneta, S. (1996b). Structure and Dynamics of Magnetic Reconnection in a Solar Flare: Erratum. *ApJ*, 464:1055.
- Tsuneta, S., Acton, L., Bruner, M., Lemen, J., Brown, W., Carvalho, R., Catura, R., Freeland, S., Jurcevich, B., and Owens, J. (1991). The soft X-ray telescope for the SOLAR-A mission. *Solar Phys.*, 136:37–67.
- Tsuneta, S. and Naito, T. (1998). Fermi Acceleration at the Fast Shock in a Solar Flare and the Impulsive Loop-Top Hard X-Ray Source. *ApJ*, 495:L67.
- Uchida, Y. (1968). Propagation of Hydromagnetic Disturbances in the Solar Corona and Moreton's Wave Phenomenon. *Solar Phys*, 4:30.
- Uchida, Y., McAllister, A., Strong, K. T., Ogawara, Y., Shimizu, T., Matsumoto, R., and Hudson, H. S. (1992). Continual expansion of the active-region corona observed by the YOHKOH Soft X-ray Telescope. *PASJ*, 44:L155–L160.
- Švestka, Z. . K., Fárník, F. ., Hudson, H. S., and Hick, P. (1998). Large-Scale Active Coronal Phenomena in YOHKOH SXT Images - IV. Solar Wind Streams from Flaring Active Regions. *Solar Phys*, 182:179–193.

- van Driel-Gesztelyi, L., Wiik, J. E., Schmieder, B., Tarbell, T., Kitai, R., Funakoshi, Y., and Anwar, B. (1997). Post-Flare Loops of 26 June 1992 - IV. Formation and Expansion of Hot and Cool Loops. *Solar Phys.*, 174:151–162.
- Vlahos, L., Georgoulis, M., Kluiving, R., and Paschos, P. (1995). The statistical flare. *A&A*, 299:897.
- Vršnak, B. (2001). Dynamics of solar coronal eruptions. *JGR*, 106:25249–25260.
- Watanabe, T., Kozuka, Y., Ohyama, M., Kojima, M., Yamaguchi, K., Watari, S., Tsuneta, S., Joselyn, J., Harvey, K. L., Acton, L. W., and Klimchuk, J. A. (1992). Coronal/interplanetary disturbances associated with disappearing solar filaments. *PASJ*, 44:L199–L204.
- Webb, D. F., Cliver, E. W., Gopalswamy, N., Hudson, H. S., and St. Cyr, O. C. (1998). The solar origin of the January 1997 coronal mass ejection, magnetic cloud, and geomagnetic storm. *GRL*, 25:2469.
- Wheatland, M. S. and Melrose, D. B. (1995). Interpreting YOHKOH hard and soft X-ray flare observations. *Solar Phys*, 158:283–299.
- White, S. M., Kundu, M. R., Shimizu, T., Shibasaki, K., and Enome, S. (1995). The Radio Properties of Solar Active Region Soft X-Ray Transient Brightenings. *ApJ*, 450:435.
- Yokoyama, T., Akita, K., Morimoto, T., Inoue, K., and Newmark, J. (2001). Clear Evidence of Reconnection Inflow of a Solar Flare. *ApJ*, 546:L69–L72.
- Yoshimori, M., Shiozawa, A., and Suga, K. (1999). Two Types of Gamma-Ray Flares. In *Proceedings of the Nobeyama Symposium, held in Kiyosato, Japan, Oct. 27-30, 1998, Eds.: T. S. Bastian, N. Gopalswamy and K. Shibasaki, NRO Report No. 479., p.353-356*, pages 353–356.
- Zarro, D. M., Sterling, A. C., Thompson, B. J., Hudson, H. S., and Nitta, N. (1999). SOHO EIT Observations of Extreme-Ultraviolet “Dimming” Associated with a Halo Coronal Mass Ejection. *ApJ*, 520:L139–L142.
- Zhang, J., Dere, K. P., Howard, R. A., Kundu, M. R., and White, S. M. (2001). On the Temporal Relationship between Coronal Mass Ejections and Flares. *ApJ*, 559:452–462.
- Zhang, M., Golub, L., DeLuca, E., and Burkepile, J. (2002). The Timing of Flares Associated with the Two Dynamical Types of Solar Coronal Mass Ejections. *ApJ*, 574:L97–L100.