HARD X-RADIATION FROM A FAST CORONAL EJECTION

H. S. HUDSON
Solar Physics Research Corporation/Institute of Space and Astronautical Sciences, 3-1-1 Yoshinodai,
Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229, Japan; hudson@isass1.solar.isas.ac.jp

T. KOSUGI
Institute of Space and Astronautical Sciences, 3-1-1 Yoshinodai, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229, Japan

N. V. NITTA
Lockheed Martin Solar and Astrophysics Laboratory, 3251 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304

AND

M. SHIMOJO
Nobeyama Radio Observatory/NAOJ, Minamimaki, Minamisaku, Nagano 384-1305, Japan

q1

ABSTRACT

We have observed a high-speed coronal ejection in hard X-rays, detectable to an altitude of some 2×10^5 km in the *Yohkoh* 23–53 keV energy bands. Simultaneous imaging at 17 and 34 GHz from the Nobeyama radioheliograph shows complex moving features simultaneous with the ejection, including a compact source that we identify with the rapid X-ray source motion. The hard X-ray and microwave observations agree on ejection velocities in the vicinity of 1000 km s^{-1}. The hard X-ray sources also corresponded in position angle to a bright coronal mass ejection (CME) detected about 15 minutes later and temporally to both fast-drift and slow-drift radio bursts in the decimeter–meter bands. Other components of coronal hard X-ray emission were also detected, including an extended long-duration event with a nonthermal spectrum. We suggest that a major eruptive flare occurred in NOAA Active Region 9415, approximately 26° beyond the west limb at the time of the event. Estimating a source density of 4×10^9 cm^{-3} from the compact source observed at 17 GHz, we find a total electron number (>20 keV) of approximately $N_{20} \sim 1.3 \times 10^{36}$ for the compact part of the source. We infer that these electrons were trapped in expanding loops forming a part of the CME and may contribute substantial pressure within these loops.

Subject headings: Sun: corona — Sun: flares — Sun: X-rays, gamma rays

1. INTRODUCTION

The solar corona displays many phenomena involving nonthermal particles, which commonly appear during disturbances of different kinds. The techniques of radio astronomy have made it possible to study these accelerated particles since the middle of the 20th century. The meter-wave phenomena (e.g., Wild, Smerd, & Weiss 1963) include types I–V, which variously reveal the presence of global shock waves, relativistic electrons trapped in large-scale magnetic loops, streams of quasi-relativistic electrons escaping the Sun on open field lines, and other remarkable things. Complete physical interpretations of this rich array of phenomena have often proven difficult, however, partly because of the relatively low spatial resolution at radio wavelengths.

Hard X-ray bremsstrahlung provides another means for the observation of such phenomena, but observations have been limited. Without hard X-ray imaging instruments, the best means for observing coronal sources has thus far been to use the solar limb as an occulter. Limb-occulted events have the advantage that bright sources on the solar disk cannot obscure the coronal observations so easily. The pioneering observation in this category was the event of 1969 March 30 (Frost & Dennis 1971), with a microwave counterpart in the form of a remarkable expanding source (Énomé & Tanaka 1971). Subsequent observations revealed other distant over-the-limb events (Hudson 1978; for a review see Cliver et al. 1986). Hard X-ray events from flares inferred to have originated far beyond the limb have tended to have distinguishing characteristics, including long durations, extremely flat spectra, and a lack of thermal soft X-rays.

Yohkoh carries the most sensitive solar hard X-ray imager to date, and it has observed several coronal hard X-ray sources of probably different types (Masuda et al. 1994; Tomczak 1997; Sato 2001). Prior to the observations reported here, however, there have been no studies of *Yohkoh* flares that occurred far beyond the limb, say, by greater than 20° (cf. Tomczak 2001).

q2

We estimate that the event discussed in this Letter (02:15 UT 2001, April 18) occurred 27°.3 ± 2°.3 beyond the west limb, based on the NOAA reports of Hα flare positions in NOAA Active Region 9415 when it was on the disk. The previous major flare in NOAA AR 9415 occurred at 13:50 UT (*GOES* peak time) on April 15, and from the soft X-ray telescope (SXT) images we find a longitude of W83° for it. The time of the event studied in this Letter corresponds to a position 25°.8 beyond the limb, within the scatter of the estimate based on Hα flares. Adopting the X-ray position, we estimate an occultation height (altitude of the line of sight) of 8.8×10^4 km. A bright, fast coronal mass ejection (CME) occurred in coincidence, first appearing at 02:30 UT and not visible in the immediately earlier image at 02:06 UT, in the Large Angle and Spectrometric Coronagraph (LASCO) C2 coronagraph on the *Solar and Heliospheric Observatory* (*SOHO*). This Letter reports our detection of rapidly moving hard X-ray and microwave sources in the corona above the presumed flare site, using the *Yohkoh* hard X-ray telescope (HXT) and the Nobeyama radioheliograph.

2. X-RAY OBSERVATIONS

The X-ray time profiles of Figure 1 (*upper panel*) provide a reference time line for the observations. They reveal a disproportionately weak and impulsive soft X-ray emission (*GOES* C2.2). The absence of the usual bright soft X-ray burst following the *Yohkoh* on-board flare trigger signal resulted in

FIG. 1.—*Upper panel*: Variation of spectral index with time during the event. The figure also shows background-subtracted hard X-ray fluxes (23–33 keV) from *Yohkoh* HXT (*solid line*) and soft X-ray fluxes (*GOES* 1–8 Å; *dotted line*) for the event. The X-ray fluxes are normalized to a peak of 10 for each plot; the *GOES* event classification was C2.2, and the peak hard X-ray flux about 57 counts (cm² s sc)⁻¹, 23–33 keV. The second hard X-ray peak corresponds to the ejection and has a flatter hard X-ray spectrum. *Lower panel*: Series of one-dimensional hard X-ray images from 5 s integrations in the 23–53 keV band, arranged in a stack plot. The tick marks show intervals of 2×10^4 km and show the east-west position of the source (west at the top). The initial source is stationary and just above the limb (*dotted line*; shown at the north-south position of the moving source).

a missed observation for the SXT, though, so we have no contemporaneous *Yohkoh* images other than those provided by HXT. A weak postburst increase is visible in the *GOES* soft X-rays, consistent with the topmost part of the presumed flare arcade. The hard X-ray images (the 23–53 keV bands) show a source initially appearing along the limb and then moving rapidly outward to the west. The hard X-ray spectrum can be determined approximately from the four energy bands of HXT, and as Figure 1 shows, the statistical errors in the data are small enough to show the hardness variations. The spectrum initially had a photon power-law index of 4.3 over the range ~23–93 keV; in the second peak of Figure 1, the spectral index dropped to 3.4. This second peak corresponds to the moving source.

We have carried out standard maximum entropy method (MEM) deconvolutions of the HXT data but have trouble with convergence given the flux level of the event, which had a peak 23–33 keV rate of 57 (cm² s sc)⁻¹; some images look reasonable, but others do not. This is the behavior one would expect for a spatially large source, which could either be intrinsic or the result of smearing induced by rapid source motion. Figure 2 gives an example in comparison with a simultaneous Nobeyama 17 GHz radioheliogram. In order to check the MEM results, we have also used the PIXON technique as available in the *Yohkoh* software (Metcalf et al. 1996). The images thus produced are interestingly different, with much reduced fine structure. This would also be consistent with extended or smeared sources. For each MEM image, we can determine the location of peak brightness, and we show these in Figure 3a for the time range up to 02:15:45 UT. The east-west coordinates


FIG. 2.—Hard X-ray (23–33 keV) contours (40%–80%) superposed on a 17 GHz Nobeyama radioheliograph image at the end of the X-ray imaging observations of the moving source. The radio image is a 1 s snapshot at 02:15:38 UT; the X-rays are integrated over 02:15:33–43 UT. Within uncertainty, the X-ray source matches the location of the moving coronal source, which continues its outward motion as shown in Fig. 3 (*lower panel*). The solid curve at the upper left shows the position of the limb.


FIG. 3.—*Upper panel*: Height vs. time plot of the east-west peak locations in 5 s integrations of the *Yohkoh* HXT M1 channel (23–33 keV) MEM images. The solid line shows a fit to the peak locations in the time range 02:14:45–02:15:45 UT, and it has a projected velocity of about 930 km s⁻¹. The dotted line at the bottom shows the approximate location of the solar limb. *Lower panel*: Positions of the X-ray peak locations (*asterisks*) together with positions of the compact microwave source (*plus signs*), showing points spaced by 5 s in time starting at 02:15:53 UT. The hard X-ray source remains visible to approximately 100 Mm above the limb and therefore about twice that above the photosphere if the motion is radial, the microwave source to about 3 times the height.

FIG. 4.—Hiraiso spectral observations from the HiRAS spectrometer, plotted with frequency increasing upward rather than wavelength (in this type of plot, downward drifts correspond to motion away from the solar surface due to decreasing density and plasma frequency). One interesting feature to note for this event is the slowly drifting decimetric continuum in the time range 02:15–02:19 UT, approximately the time range of the moving microwave source. The onset time of the type III–like features is 02:14:09 UT at ∼440 MHz, based on the Culgoora spectral observations. The type II–like features start at about 02:21 UT at about 200 MHz.

initially show little motion, but for the final minute (corresponding with the second peak of the hard X-ray burst) the peak positions increase rapidly, consistent with a motion of about 10^3 km s^{-1}. The MEM image centroids show consistent behavior. After 02:15:45 UT, the inferred locations begin to scatter widely due to lack of convergence, which we attribute to image complexity; the peak flux of this event corresponds to a class M *GOES* event, and it would present no image convergence problems if the image were simple.

The HXT fan-beam elements allow us to confirm the source motion in a rigorous way in spite of the image complexity. Sets of four fan-beam subcollimators of HXT directly form one-dimensional images with a response pattern about 0″.5 wide at half-maximum, in four different fringe orientations (Kosugi et al. 1991). Each fan-beam orientation is thus sampled in four phase steps, so a weighted sum of the four responses generates a simple image covering an angular domain of 2′6″. Most of the spatial resolution of HXT is lost, but source motion can be detected within this field of view. Figure 1 (*lower panel*), shows a stack plot of fan-beam images using the four HXT subcollimators with fringe patterns oriented north-south. This relatively direct observation shows motion consistent with the MEM imaging but with a lower signal-to-noise ratio because of the low effective area of the small number of HXT fan-beam subcollimators. The advantage of the fan-beam images is that they have none of the ambiguity associated with a full HXT image synthesis and require no specific assumptions other than the field-of-view center coordinates, which we have taken from the standard MEM images here. Figure 1 clearly shows image displacement consistent with that of the microwave source (see below) and also displays a sudden expansion just at the onset of the motion. We attribute this to a large-scale source appearing above the limb just at the onset of the second hard X-ray flux peak and the spectral hardening.

3. RADIO OBSERVATIONS

The microwave (17 and 34 GHz) observations of this event shown in Figures 2 and 3b are also novel. The microwave sources initially resemble those of a typical soft X-ray ejection, in the form of loops with both perpendicular and parallel motions (e.g., Hudson, Acton, & Freeland 1996; Ohyama & Shibata 1998).

Later, a compact unpolarized blob moves outward, with a projected velocity on the order of 10^3 km s^{-1}, and continues the motion of the X-ray source as shown in Figure 3b. This isolated compact source is resolved at 17 GHz, with a brightness temperature T_b falling from ∼10^5 K at 02:15:45 UT to ∼10^4 K at 02:16:45 UT, and appears to separate from the other microwave features at about the end of the interval in which the hard X-ray source is detectable. It is unpolarized and initially has an approximately flat spectrum in flux density, consistent with optically thin free-free emission. By equating the Rayleigh-Jeans law with a standard expression for free-free emission, we have $n_e = (f^2 T_b T_e^{0.5}/0.16l)^{1/2}$. This yields a density $n_e \sim (1–4) \times 10^9$ cm^{-3} at the time of the last reliable X-ray image of the ejection. Here we take the source depth l to be the square root of the source area at half–peak brightness temperature. This rough estimate assumes unity filling factor and an electron temperature in the range 2×10^4–3×10^6 K, covering the temperature range from prominences to hot active region loops. The position angle of the microwave ejection began at ∼230°, consistent with the centroid of the CME at ∼245°, as estimated from the LASCO C2 image.

We have used the SOLARSOFT tools to co-align the hard X-ray and microwave images, with the result shown in Figure 2. Due to a temporary loss of the guide star Canopus, this co-alignment required an adjustment of the *Yohkoh* roll angle by −1°.43, as calculated from the known drift rate of the reference gyro. The compact hard X-ray source coincides with the compact

microwave source. This is attractive because the microwave free-free emission requires a relatively high density at the altitude of the hard X-ray emission. To determine the number and spectrum of the nonthermal electrons in this source, we use the Bethe-Heitler approximation of thin-target bremsstrahlung and take the maximal density inferred above. At 02:14:45 UT, we find $N_{20} \sim 1.3 \times 10^{36}$ electrons above 20 keV, corresponding to an instantaneous total particle energy of about 6×10^{28} ergs, for $n_e = 4 \times 10^9$ cm^{-3}. A higher density in the radio source, as, for example, with a nonunity filling factor, would result in a lower estimate. However, this number also represents a lower limit in the sense that electrons can escape from the corona and disappear by precipitation; the propagation timescales could be as short as 1 s, so that a burst 40 s in duration could have a total energy greater than 10^{30} ergs.

Peculiar fast-drift and slow-drift bursts, resembling type III and type II, were observed at the Culgoora and Hiraiso spectrographs, as shown in Figure 4. The bursts began between 02:14 and 02:15 UT, in good coincidence with the microwave and X-ray events, and the starting frequency of the slow-drift burst was greater than 1 GHz as observed at Hiraiso, roughly consistent with harmonic plasma-frequency emission at the density inferred from the microwave spectrum ($\sim 4 \times 10^9$ cm^{-3}). The associated type III burst has a starting frequency of about 440 MHz, which would correspond to lower densities (6×10^8–2.4×10^9 cm^{-3}, depending on the emission harmonic).

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have observed a rapidly moving hard X-ray source relatively high in the corona, at inferred altitudes ranging to about 2×10^5 km, in association with sources observed at 17 and 34 GHz in free-free emission. At meter waves, the hard X-ray source followed a fast-drift burst and coincided with a slow-drift decimeter/meter-wave source with some features of a type II burst. The hard X-ray spectrum and duration differ from those previously observed in extreme over-the-limb events; this is the first imaging observation in hard X-rays of such a coronal source, and we do not know if the motions detected are typical. The hard X-ray emission is almost certainly identifiable with one or the other of the microwave and meter-wave phenomena observed simultaneously, and with some component of the CME. We find that the hard X-ray emission, even at this great altitude, may require a total electron energy content as great as 1% of the total energy of a class X flare. The source volume at 02:15:45 UT is about 1.5×10^{29} cm^{-3}. This implies that the nonthermal electrons above 20 keV represent a tail population on the order of 0.05%, with an energy fraction of about 3%. Taken as a whole, the observations suggest that we are observing electrons trapped in expanding loops, of the type frequently observed in solar flares by SXT (Hudson et al. 1996; Ohyama & Shibata 1998; Nitta & Akiyama 1999) and consistent with the pattern of U-burst development sometimes seen at meter wavelengths (Leblanc, Poquérusse, & Aubier 1983).

REFERENCES

Cliver, E. W., Dennis, B. R., Kiplinger, A. L., Kane, S. R., Neidig, D. F., Sheeley, N. R., Jr., & Koomen, M. J. 1986, ApJ, 305, 920

Énomé, S., & Tanaka, H. 1971, in Solar Magnetic Fields, ed. R. Howard (Dordrecht: Reidel), 413

Frost, K. J., & Dennis, B. R. 1971, ApJ, 165, 655

Hudson, H. S. 1978, ApJ, 224, 235

Hudson, H. S., Acton, L. W., & Freeland, S. L. 1996, ApJ, 470, 629

Kosugi, T., et al. 1991, Sol. Phys., 136, 17

Leblanc, Y., Poquérusse, M., & Aubier, M. G. 1983, A&A, 123, 307

Masuda, S., Kosugi, T., Hara, H., Tsuneta, S., & Ogawara, Y. 1994, Nature, 371, 495

Metcalf, T. R., Hudson, H. S., Kosugi, T., Puetter, R. C., & Piña, R. K. 1996, ApJ, 466, 585

Nitta, N., & Akiyama, S. 1999, ApJ, 525, L57

Ohyama, M., & Shibata, K. 1998, ApJ, 499, 934

Sato, J. 2001, ApJ, 558, L137

Tomczak, M. 1997, A&A, 317, 223

———. 2001, A&A, 366, 294

Wild, J. P., Smerd, J. F., & Weiss, A. A. 1963, ARA&A, 1, 291

QUERIES TO THE AUTHOR

1   Is it okay to move the second author to the same line as the first author, or is T. Kosugi not affiliated with SPRC?

2   Is "cf.", which implies a contrasting point of view, okay here, or would "see" be better?

3   What does "sc" stand for? (Also appears in Fig. 1 legend.)

4   Is "east-west" correct for EW?

The Astrophysical Journal

The University of Chicago Press
1427 E. 60th Street
Chicago, IL 60637
FAX (773) 753-0827

☐ **NO REPRINTS DESIRED**

2 0 0 1   P A G E   C H A R G E / R E P R I N T   O R D E R   F O R M
(please keep a copy of this document for your records)

AUTHORS Please return this form immediately **even if no reprints are desired**. The form on the reverse side should be used to calculate page charges, color printing costs, and reprint costs. This form should be used to order reprints and allocate cost of page charges, color printing costs, and reprints. Payment by check, wire transfer, Visa, or MasterCard is accepted for all orders not accompanied by an institutional purchase order or purchase order number. Reprints ordered through an institution will not be processed without a purchase order number. **Make checks and purchase orders payable to The University of Chicago Press.** All purchase orders MUST include the following: journal name and date of issue, authors' names, title of article, and number of reprints ordered. Billing questions may be directed to Cindy Garrett, Billing Coordinator (tel. (773) 753-8028; fax (773) 753-0827; email Astronomy-Billing@press.uchicago.edu).

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL   PART 1 ☐   LETTERS ☐   SUPPLEMENT ☐ VOL. _____ NO. _____ ISSUE _____

TITLE OF ARTICLE _____

AUTHOR NAME _____

ESTIMATED NUMBER OF PAGES _____ MANUSCRIPT # _____   NUMBER OF COLOR FIGURES TO APPEAR IN PRINT _____

PAGE CHARGES
Invoice(s) to be sent to the following address(es)

_____% to be paid by   _____% to be paid by

_____   _____
_____   _____
_____   _____
_____   _____

MAKE CHECKS & PURCHASE ORDERS PAYABLE TO **The University of Chicago Press.** All orders must be accompanied by one of three payment options:
 1) Institutional Purchase Order No. _____
    Purchase Order attached ☐   to come ☐
 2) ☐ Check or Money Order for total charges is attached   **OR**
 3) Please charge to ☐ VISA   ☐ MasterCard
 	
 Card Number _____
 	
 Expiration date _____
 	
 Signature _____
 	
 Phone number _____
	MAKE CHECKS & PURCHASE ORDERS PAYABLE TO **The University of Chicago Press.** All orders must be accompanied by one of three payment options:
	 1) Institutional Purchase Order No. _____
	    Purchase Order attached ☐ to come ☐
	 2) ☐ Check or Money Order for total charges is attached **OR**
	 3) Please charge to ☐ VISA ☐ MasterCard
	
	 Card Number _____
	
	 Expiration date _____
	
	 Signature _____
	
	 Phone number _____

Contact _____   **Contact** _____

Tel/Fax/email _____   Tel/Fax/email _____

Signature of Administrative Official _____   Signature of Administrative Official _____

REPRINT CHARGES
Please send the following quantity_____   Please send the following quantity_____
☐ without covers   ☐ with covers   ☐ without covers   ☐ with covers
Ship reprints to   Ship reprints to
_____   _____
_____   _____
_____   _____

Send invoices to   Send invoices to
_____% to be paid by   _____% to be paid by
_____   _____
_____   _____
_____   _____

Payment options   Payment options
1) Institutional Purchase Order No. _____   1) Institutional Purchase Order No. _____
   Purchase Order attached ☐   to come ☐   Purchase Order attached ☐   to come ☐
2) ☐ Check   ☐ Wire transfer   ☐ VISA **OR** ☐ MasterCard   2) ☐ Check   ☐ Wire transfer   ☐ VISA **OR** ☐ MasterCard
3) Credit card to be used as: ☐ proof of payment **OR** ☐ payment   3) Credit card to be used as: ☐ proof of payment **OR** ☐ payment

Card Number _____   Card Number _____

Expiration Date_____   Expiration date _____

Signature_____   Signature _____

Phone number_____   Phone number_____

2001 PAGE CHARGES /REPRINT PRICES

AUTHORS This form should be used to calculate page charges, color printing costs, and reprint costs. Payment by check, Money Order, Visa, or MasterCard is required with all orders not accompanied by an institutional purchase order or purchase order number. **Make checks and purchase orders payable to The University of Chicago Press.**

PAGE CHARGES for ApJ Part 1, ApJ Part 2 (Letters), and ApJ Supplement

Type of Charge	Charge
ApJ Part 1 or ApJ Supplement Electronic manuscript	$115 per page
ApJ Part 1 or ApJ Supplement Paper manuscript	$140 per page
ApJ Part 2 (Letters) paper or electronic manuscripts	*$155 per page*
Extended data table to appear in the electronic edition only	$115 per table
Color figure(s) in the print edition	$600 for first figure + $150 per each additional color figure
Author alterations	$6.00 per change

REPRINT CHARGES

Please use the following chart to determine the cost of your reprints. Without proof of payment, reprint orders will not be processed. No free reprints are provided. Reprints may not be ordered when page charges have been waived. The minimum order is **50** copies. Please indicate the quantity desired by each author along with shipping and billing addresses in the spaces provided. Please allow 4-6 weeks after publication for delivery. Shipping costs are included in these reprint prices. Faster delivery can be arranged at the author's expense; please contact the billing coordinator.

	50	100	150	200	ADD'L 50
# OF PAGES					
1-4	$77	$87	$98	$109	$20
5-8	$109	$119	$130	$142	$30
9-12	$142	$152	$163	$174	$40
13-16	$174	$184	$195	$207	$50
17-20	$207	$217	$229	$239	$61
21-24	$239	$249	$261	$272	$68
25-28*	$272	$282	$294	$304	$78
COVERS	$77	$87	$98	$109	$20

*For articles with a larger number of pages, combine rates (e.g., 36 pages = 28 + 8, 50 reprints will cost $272+$109 = $381).

• If more than two institutions are paying page charges, please submit information on a separate sheet attached to this form.
• If page charges are to be paid from funds with a specific expiration date and early billing is necessary, please request advance billing from the billing coordinator at least 30 days prior to the expiration date. The billing amount will be based on an estimate of paper length. Extensive additions or alterations to any paper that is prebilled could result in higher costs, depending on the nature of the changes.

**If payment is to be made by purchase order: reprint payment, color charges,
and page charges can ALL be included on a single purchase order.**

PUBLICATION AGREEMENT
American Astronomical Society

Date:_____ *(must be filled in)*

To: *(Name)* _____   Manuscript #: _____ *(must be filled in)*
    *(Address)*_____
    (City, State) _____
    (Country) _____

Dear Colleague:

With regard to your original and previously unpublished paper entitled:

_____
_____
written by you and   _____
_____
which has been accepted for publication in our journal, the following terms are submitted for your consideration. If these terms are satisfactory, please sign below and return this agreement to us. We cannot publish your paper without this approval.

Copyright Assignment: Because the Society, acting through the University of Chicago Press, is undertaking to publish this paper, and because you desire to have this paper so published, you grant and assign the entire copyright for this paper exclusively to the Society. The copyright consists of all rights protected by the copyright laws of the United States and of all foreign countries, in all languages and forms of communication.

The Society, in turn, grants to you the non-exclusive right of republication, subject only to your giving appropriate credit to the Journal. To protect the copyright in this paper, the original copyright notice as it appears in the Journal should be included in the credit.

Compensation and Subsidiary Rights: It is understood that you will receive no monetary compensation from the Society for the assignment of copyright and publication of the paper. Please note, however, that you may grant or deny requests to reprint this paper in books or journals, and you may retain all fees from such reprinting. We will forward such requests to you.

Who Should Sign: The agreement should be signed by at least one of the authors (who agrees to inform the others, if any) or, in the case of a ⁄work made for hire,@ by the employer.

An author who is a U.S. Government officer or employee *and* who prepared the paper as part of his or her official duties does not own any copyright in it. If at least one of the authors is not in this category, that author should sign below. If all the authors are in this category, please return this form unsigned.

FOR THE AMERICAN ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY:

Robert C. Kennicutt, Jr.
Robert C. Kennicutt, Jr., Editor-in-Chief   A. Dalgarno, Letters Editor

ACCEPTED AND APPROVED FOR THE AUTHOR(S)

_____   DATE:_____
         Author⁼s signature